# Chapter 2 Job-Order Costing

#### **Solutions to Questions**

**2-1** By definition, manufacturing overhead consists of costs that cannot be practically traced to jobs. Therefore, if these costs are to be assigned to jobs, they must be allocated rather than traced.

**2-2** The first step is to estimate the total amount of the allocation base (the denominator) that will be required for next period's estimated level of production. The second step is to estimate the total fixed manufacturing overhead cost for the coming period and the variable manufacturing overhead cost per unit of the allocation base. The third step is to use the cost formula Y = a + bX to estimate the total manufacturing overhead cost (the numerator) for the coming period. The fourth step is to compute the predetermined overhead rate.

**2-3** The job cost sheet is used to record all costs that are assigned to a particular job. These costs include direct materials costs traced to the job, direct labor costs traced to the job, and manufacturing overhead costs applied to the job. When a job is completed, the job cost sheet is used to compute the unit product cost.

**2-4** Some production costs such as a factory manager's salary cannot be traced to a particular product or job, but rather are incurred as a result of overall production activities. In addition, some production costs such as indirect materials cannot be easily traced to jobs. If these costs are to be assigned to products, they must be allocated to the products.

**2-5** If actual manufacturing overhead cost is applied to jobs, the company must wait until the end of the accounting period to apply overhead and to cost jobs. If the company computes actual overhead rates more frequently to get around this problem, the rates may fluctuate widely due to seasonal factors or variations in output. For this

reason, most companies use predetermined overhead rates to apply manufacturing overhead costs to jobs.

**2-6** The measure of activity used as the allocation base should drive the overhead cost; that is, the allocation base should cause the overhead cost. If the allocation base does not really cause the overhead, then costs will be incorrectly attributed to products and jobs and product costs will be distorted.

**2-7** Assigning manufacturing overhead costs to jobs does not ensure a profit. The units produced may not be sold and if they are sold, they may not be sold at prices sufficient to cover all costs. It is a myth that assigning costs to products or jobs ensures that those costs will be recovered. Costs are recovered only by selling to customers—not by allocating costs.

**2-8** The Manufacturing Overhead account is credited when overhead cost is applied to Work in Process. Generally, the amount of overhead applied will not be the same as the amount of actual cost incurred because the predetermined overhead rate is based on estimates.

**2-9** Underapplied overhead occurs when the actual overhead cost exceeds the amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process inventory during the period. Overapplied overhead occurs when the actual overhead cost is less than the amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process inventory during the period. Underapplied or overapplied overhead is disposed of by closing out the amount to Cost of Goods Sold. The adjustment for underapplied overhead increases Cost of Goods Sold.

© The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

Solutions Manual, Chapter 2

**2-10** Manufacturing overhead may be underapplied for several reasons. Control over overhead spending may be poor. Or, some of the overhead may be fixed and the actual amount of the allocation base may be less than estimated at the beginning of the period. In this situation, the amount of overhead applied to inventory will be less than the actual overhead cost incurred.

**2-11** Underapplied overhead implies that not enough overhead was assigned to jobs during the period and therefore cost of goods sold was understated. Therefore, underapplied overhead is added to cost of goods sold. On the other hand, overapplied overhead is deducted from cost of goods sold.

**2-12** A plantwide overhead rate is a single overhead rate used throughout a plant. In a

multiple overhead rate system, each production department may have its own predetermined overhead rate and its own allocation base. Some companies use multiple overhead rates rather than plantwide rates to more appropriately allocate overhead costs among products. Multiple overhead rates should be used, for example, in situations where one department is machine intensive and another department is labor intensive.

**2-13** When automated equipment replaces direct labor, overhead increases and direct labor decreases. This results in an increase in the predetermined overhead rate—particularly if it is based on direct labor.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

$$Y = $10,000 + ($1.00 per DLH)(2,000 DLHs)$$

\$1.00 per DLH × 2,000 DLHS	<u></u>
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$12,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$12,000	
Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b).	2,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$6.00	per DLH

2. The manufacturing overhead applied to Jobs P and Q is computed as follows:

	Job P	Job Q
Actual direct labor hours worked (a)	1,400	500
Predetermined overhead rate per DLH (b)	\$6.00	\$6.00
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$8,400	\$3,000

3. The direct labor hourly wage rate can be computed by focusing on either Job P or Job Q as follows:

	Job P	Job Q
Direct labor cost (a)	\$21,000	\$7,500
Actual direct labor hours worked (b)	1,400	500
Direct labor hourly wage rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$15.00	\$15.00

4. Job P's unit product cost and Job Q's assigned manufacturing costs are computed as follows:

Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job P:

Direct materials Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied	· · ·
(\$6 per DLH $\times$ 1,400 DLHs) Total manufacturing cost	
Unit product cost for Job P:	
Total manufacturing cost (a) Number of units in the job (b) Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b)	20
Total manufacturing cost assigned to	Job Q:
Direct materials Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied	· · ·
(\$6 per DLH $\times$ 500 DLHs) Total manufacturing cost	
5. The journal entries are recorded as for	ollows:
Raw Materials 22,000 Accounts Payable	22,000
Work in Process 21,000 Raw Materials	21,000
6. The journal entry is recorded as follo	ws:
Work in Process 28,500 Wages Payable	28,500

 $<sup>\</sup>textcircled{C}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

<ul> <li>7. The journal entry is recorded as follows:</li> <li>Work in Process 11,400</li> <li>Manufacturing Overhead 11,400</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>8. The Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured is as follows:</li> <li>Direct materials: <ul> <li>Raw materials inventory, beginning.</li> <li>Add: Purchases of raw materials</li> <li>Total raw materials available</li> <li>Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending.</li> <li>Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending.</li> <li>Total raw materials used in production.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Direct labor.</li> <li>Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory.</li> <li>Total manufacturing costs.</li> <li>Add: Beginning work in process inventory.</li> <li>Deduct: Ending work in process inventory.</li> </ul>	$$21,000 \\ 28,500 \\ 11,400 \\ 60,900 \\ 0 \\ 60,900 \\ 18,500 \\ $42,400 \\ $
<ul> <li>9. The journal entry is recorded as follows:</li> <li>Finished Goods</li></ul>	

## 10. The completed T-account is as follows:

	Work in	Process	
Beg. Bal.	0		
(a)	21,000		
(b)	28,500		
<u>(c)</u>	11,400	(d)	42,400
End. Bal.	18,500		

11. The Schedule of Cost of Goods Sold is as follows:

Finished goods inventory, beginning	\$	0
Add: Cost of goods manufactured	42,4	<u>00</u>
Cost of goods available for sale	42,4	00
Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending		0
Unadjusted cost of goods sold	<u>\$42,4</u>	<u>00</u>

12. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

Finished Goods	42,400

13. The amount of underapplied overhead is computed as follows:

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	1,900
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$6.00
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$11,400
Actual manufacturing overhead	\$12,500
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied	11,400
Underapplied overhead	<u>\$ 1,100</u>

14. The journal entry is recorded as follows:

Cost of Goods Sold	1,100	
Manufacturing Overhead		1,100

15. The income statement is as follows:

Sales	\$60,000
Cost of goods sold (\$42,400 + \$1,100)	<u>43,500</u>
Gross margin	16,500
Selling and administrative expenses	<u>14,000</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$  2,500</u>

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Exercise 2-1 (10 minutes)

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Y = \$466,000 +	(\$3.00 per	<sup>·</sup> DLH)(40,000	DLHs)
-----------------	-------------	--------------------------	-------

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$466,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$3.00 per DLH × 40,000 DLHs	<u>120,000</u>
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$586,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$586,000	
Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b)	40,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$14.65	per DLH

## Exercise 2-2 (10 minutes)

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	12,600
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$23.10
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$291,060

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved. 8 Introdu

### Exercise 2-3 (10 minutes)

1. Total direct labor-hours required for Job A-200:

Direct labor cost (a)	\$120
Direct labor wage rate per hour (b)	\$12
Total direct labor hours (a) ÷ (b)	10
Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job	A-200:
Direct materials Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied	\$200 120
(\$18 per DLH × 10 DLHs)	<u>180</u>
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$500</u>

### 2. Unit product cost for Job A-200:

Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$500
Number of units in the job (b)	50
Unit product cost (a) $\div$ (b)	\$10

### Exercise 2-4 (15 minutes)

a.	Raw Materials Accounts Payable	86,000	86,000
b.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials	72,000 12,000	84,000
c.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Wages Payable	105,000 3,000	108,000
d.	Manufacturing Overhead Various Accounts	197,000	197,000

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Exercise 2-5 (20 minutes)

Parts 1 and 2.

Cash			Raw Materials		
	(a)	75,000_	(a)	75,000(b)	73,000
	(c)	152,000	Bal.	2,000	
	(d)	126,000			
	Work in Pr	ocess		Finished Goo	ds
(b)	67,000		(f)	379,000(f)	379,000
(c)	134,000		Bal.	0	
(e)	178,000(f)	379,000			
Bal.	0				
Manufacturing Overhead			Cost of Goods	Sold	
(b)	6,000(e)	178,000	(f)	379,000(g)	28,000
(c)	18,000		Bal.	351,000	
(d)	126,000				
(g)	28,000				
Bal.	0				

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Exercise 2-6 (20 minutes)

1.	Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Raw materials used in production	\$24,000 <u>53,000</u> 77,000 <u>6,000</u> 71,000	
	Deduct: Indirect materials included in manufacturing overhead Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory	<u>8,000</u>	\$ 63,000 62,000 <u>41,000</u>
	Total manufacturing costs Add: Beginning work in process inventory Deduct: Ending work in process inventory		166,000 <u>41,000</u> 207,000 <u>38,000</u>
2	Cost of Goods Sold		<u>\$169,000</u>
۷.	Finished goods inventory, beginning Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjusted cost of goods sold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjusted cost of goods sold	\$ 86,000 <u>169,000</u> 255,000 <u>93,000</u> 162,000 <u>8,000</u> <u>\$170,000</u>	

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Exercise 2-7 (10 minutes)

1. Actual direct labor-hours (a)	8,250
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$21.40
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$176,550
Actual manufacturing overhead cost	\$172,500
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied	<u>176,550</u>
Manufacturing overhead overapplied	<u>\$ (4,050)</u>

2. Because manufacturing overhead is overapplied, the cost of goods sold would decrease by \$4,050 and the gross margin would increase by \$4,050.

### Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

1.	Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Raw materials used in production Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory Total manufacturing costs. Add: Beginning work in process inventory Deduct: Ending work in process inventory	\$ 8,000 <u>132,000</u> 140,000 <u>10,000</u>	$130,000 \\ 90,000 \\ \underline{210,000} \\ 430,000 \\ \underline{5,000} \\ 435,000 \\ \underline{20,000} \\ \underline{$415,000} \\ $415,00$
Ζ.	Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjusted cost of goods sold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjusted cost of goods sold		
3.			

#### Eccles Company Income Statement

Sales	\$643,000
Cost of goods sold (\$460,000 + \$10,000)	<u>470,000</u>
Gross margin	173,000
Selling and administrative expenses:	
Selling expenses \$100,000	1
Administrative expense 43,000	<u>143,000</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 30,000</u>

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Exercise 2-9 (10 minutes)

Yes, overhead should be applied to value the Work in Process inventory at year-end.

Because \$15,000 of overhead was applied to Job X on the basis of \$10,000 of direct labor cost, the company's predetermined overhead rate must be 150% of direct labor cost.

Job Q direct labor cost (a)	\$8,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	150%
Manufacturing overhead applied to Job Q (a) $\times$ (b)	\$12,000

## Exercise 2-10 (10 minutes)

Direct material	\$12,000
Direct labor	8,000
Manufacturing overhead applied:	
\$8,000 × 120%	9,600
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$29,600</u>
Unit product cost:	
\$29,600 ÷ 200 units	\$148

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Exercise 2-11 (30 minutes)

1.	a.	Raw Materials Inventory Accounts Payable	210,000	210,000
	b.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials Inventory	152,000 38,000	190,000
	c.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Salaries and Wages Payable	49,000 21,000	70,000
	d.	Manufacturing Overhead Accumulated Depreciation	105,000	105,000
	e.	Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	130,000	130,000
	f.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead 75,000 machine-hours × \$4 per machine-hou	300,000 ır = \$300,00	300,000 ).
	g.	Finished Goods Work in Process	510,000	510,000
	h.	Cost of Goods Sold Finished Goods Accounts Receivable	450,000 675,000	450,000
		Sales	075,000	675,000

2.	Manufacturin	g Overhead		Work in	n Proc	cess
(b)	38,000	(f) 300,000	Bal.	35,000	(g)	510,000
(C)	21,000		(b)	152,000		
(d)	105,000		(C)	49,000		
(e)	130,000		(f)	300,000		
		6,000	Bal.	26,000		
		(Overapplied overhead)		·		

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-12 (20 minutes)

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

$$Y = $750,000 + $4.00 \text{ per MH} \times 150,000 \text{ MHs}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$ 750,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$4.00 per MH × 150,000 MHs	600,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	\$1,350,000

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$1,350,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b)	150,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$9.00	per MH

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 500:

Direct materials	\$350
Direct labor	230
Manufacturing overhead applied	
\$9.00 per MH × 30 MHs	270
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$850</u>

3. Computing underapplied/overapplied overhead:

Actual machine-hours (a)	147,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$9.00
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$1,323,000
Actual manufacturing overhead	\$1,325,000
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied	<u>1,323,000</u>
Underapplied overhead	<u>\$                                    </u>

The closing entry would increase cost of goods sold by \$2,000 and decrease net operating income by \$2,000.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Exercise 2-13 (15 minutes)

1. Actual manufacturing overhead costs Deduct: Manufacturing overhead applied: 10,000 MH × \$5 per MH Overapplied overhead cost	\$48,000 <u>50,000</u> <u>\$ (2,000)</u>	
2. Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials. Raw materials available for use Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Raw materials used in production Direct labor Direct labor Manufacturing overhead cost applied to work in process Total manufacturing cost Add: Work in process, beginning Deduct: Work in process, ending Cost of goods manufactured	\$ 8,000 <u>32,000</u> 40,000 <u>7,000</u>	\$ 33,000 40,000 <u>50,000</u> 123,000 <u>6,000</u> 129,000 <u>7,500</u> <u>\$121,500</u>

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-14 (30 minutes)

Note to the instructor: This exercise is a good vehicle for introducing the concept of predetermined overhead rates.

1.	Units	Manufacturing
	Produced	Overhead
High activity level (First quarter)	80,000	\$228,000
Low activity level (Third quarter)	<u>20,000</u>	<u>192,000</u>
Change	<u>60,000</u>	<u>\$36,000</u>

Variable cost = Change in cost ÷ Change in activity = \$36,000 ÷ 60,000 units = \$0.60 per unit produced

Total cost (First quarter)	\$228,000
Variable cost element ( $$0.60$ per unit $\times$ 80,000 units).	48,000
Fixed cost element	<u>\$180,000</u>

These fixed and variable cost estimates can be used to estimate the total manufacturing overhead cost for the fourth quarter as follows:

Y = \$180,000 + (\$0.60 per unit)(60,000 units)

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$180,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$0.60 per unit × 60,000 units	36,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$216,000</u>

Total manufacturing cost and unit product cost:

Direct materials	\$180,000
Direct labor	72,000
Manufacturing overhead	216,000
Total manufacturing costs (a)	<u>\$468,000</u>
Number of units to be produced (b)	60,000
Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b)	\$7.80

### Exercise 2-14 (continued)

- 2. The fixed portion of the manufacturing overhead cost is causing the unit product costs to fluctuate. The unit product cost increases as the level of production decreases because fixed overhead is being spread over fewer units.
- 3. The unit product cost can be stabilized by using a predetermined overhead rate that is based on expected activity for the entire year. The cost formula created in requirement 1 can be adapted to compute the annual predetermined overhead rate. The annual fixed manufacturing overhead is \$720,000 (\$180,000 per quarter × 4 quarters). The variable manufacturing overhead per unit is \$0.60. The cost formula is as follows:

 $Y = $720,000 + $0.60 \text{ per unit} \times 200,000 \text{ units}$ 

	120/000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$840,000</u>

The annual predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$840,000	
Estimated total units produced (b)	200,000	
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$4.20	per unit

The predetermined overhead rate of \$4.20 would be used throughout the entire year, thereby eliminating the impact of seasonal variations in demand on unit product costs.

### Exercise 2-15 (15 minutes)

1. Milling Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Milling Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $390,000 + ($2.00 per MH)(60,000 MH)$$

\$2.00 per MH × 60,000 MHs ..... 120,000Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost ......  $\frac{510,000}{510,000}$ 

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)....\$510,000Estimated total machine-hours (b).....60,000Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b).....\$8.50per MH

Assembly Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Assembly Department is computed as follows:

Y = \$500,000 + (\$3.75 per DLH)(80,000 DLH)

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$500,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$800,000	
Estimated total direct labor-hours (b)	80,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$10.00	per DLH

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Exercise 2-15 (continued)

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 407:		
Direct materials (\$800 + \$370)		\$1,170
Direct labor (\$45 + \$160)		205
Milling Department (90 MHs × \$8.50 per MH)	\$765	
Assembly Department (20 DLH $\times$ \$10 per DLH).	200	<u> </u>
Total manufacturing cost		<u>\$2,340</u>

3. Yes; if some jobs require a large amount of machine time and a small amount of labor time, they would be charged substantially less overhead cost if a plantwide rate based on direct labor hours were used. It appears, for example, that this would be true of Job 407 which required considerable machine time to complete, but required a relatively small amount of labor hours.

#### Exercise 2-16 (10 minutes)

- Item (a): Actual manufacturing overhead costs for the year. Item (b): Overhead cost applied to work in process for the year. Item (c): Cost of goods manufactured for the year. Item (d): Cost of goods sold for the year.
- 2. Manufacturing Overhead......30,000Cost of Goods Sold......30,000

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Exercise 2-17 (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$106,250
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$0.75 per MH × 85,000 MHs	63,750

Estimated total	manufacturing	overhead cost	<u>\$170,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$170,000
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	85,000 MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$2.00 per MH

The amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process for the year would be: 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.00 per machine-hour = \$160,000. This amount is shown in entry (a) below:

	Manufactu	ring	Overhead
(Utilities)	14,000	(a)	160,000
(Insurance)	9,000		
(Maintenance)	33,000		
(Indirect materials)	7,000		
(Indirect labor)	65,000		
(Depreciation)	40,000		
Balance	8,000		
	Work in Process		

	WUIK III FIUCESS	
(Direct materials)	530,000	
(Direct labor)	85,000	
(Overhead)	(a) 160,000	

3. Overhead is underapplied by \$8,000 for the year, as shown in the Manufacturing Overhead account above. The entry to close out this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

Cost of Goods Sold	8,000	
Manufacturing Overhead		8,000

### Exercise 2-17 (continued)

4. When overhead is applied using a predetermined rate based on machine-hours, it is assumed that overhead cost is proportional to machine-hours. When the actual level of activity turns out to be 80,000 machine-hours, the costing system assumes that the overhead will be 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.00 per machine-hour, or \$160,000. This is a drop of \$10,000 from the initial estimated total manufacturing overhead cost of \$170,000. However, the actual total manufacturing overhead did not drop by this much. The actual total manufacturing overhead was \$168,000—a drop of only \$2,000 from the estimate. The manufacturing overhead did not decline by the full \$10,000 because of the existence of fixed costs and/or because overhead spending was not under control. These issues will be covered in more detail in later chapters.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-18 (45 minutes)

1	a. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as
	follows:

 $Y = $1,100,000 + $5.00 \text{ per MH} \times 50,000 \text{ MHs}$ 

		+1 250 000
Estimated total	manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$1,350,000</u>
	-	

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$1,350,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b)	<u> </u>	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	<u>\$27.00</u>	per MH

1 b and 1 c. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Jobs D-75 and C-100:

		D-75		<i>C-100</i>
Direct materials	\$	700,000	\$	550,000
Direct labor		360,000		400,000
Manufacturing overhead applied				
(\$27.00 per MH × 20,000 MHs;				
\$27.00 per MH × 30,000 MHs)		540,000		810,000
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$1</u>	,600,000	<u>\$1</u>	<u>,760,000</u>

Bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100:

	D-75	<i>C-100</i>
Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$1,600,000	\$1,760,000
Markup percentage (b)	150%	150%
Bid price (a) $\times$ (b)	\$2,400,000	\$2,640,000

 1 d. Because the company has no beginning or ending inventories and only Jobs D-75 and C-100 were started, completed, and sold during the year, the cost of goods sold is equal to the sum of the manufacturing costs assigned to both jobs of \$3,360,000 (= \$1,600,000 + \$1,760,000).

### Exercise 2-18 (continued)

2 a. Molding Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Molding Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $800,000 + $5.00 \text{ per MH} \times 20,000 \text{ MH}$$

\$5.00 per MH × 20,000 MHs ..... <u>100,000</u> Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost ...... <u>\$900,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a).	\$900,000
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	20,000 MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$45.00 per MH

Fabrication Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Fabrication Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $300,000 + $5.00 \text{ per MH} \times 30,000 \text{ MH}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$300,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$5.00 per MH × 30,000 MHs	<u>150,000</u>
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$450,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$450,000	
Estimated total direct labor-hours (b)	30,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$15.00	per MH

### Exercise 2-18 (continued)

2b and 2c. Total manufacturing costs assigned to Jobs D-75 and C-100:

	D-75	<i>C-100</i>
Direct materials	\$700,000	\$550 <i>,</i> 000
Direct labor	360,000	400,000
Molding Department (15,000 MHs × \$45 per MH; 5,000 MHs × \$45 per MH) Fabrication Department	675,000	225,000
(5,000 MH × \$15 per MH; 25,000 MH × \$15 per MH) Total manufacturing cost	<u>75,000</u> <u>\$1,810,000</u>	<u>375,000</u> <u>\$1,550,000</u>
Bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100:		
Total manufacturing cost (a) Markup percentage (b) Bid price (a) × (b)	150%	<i>C-100</i> 0 \$1,550,000 6 150% 0 \$2,325,000

- 2 d. Because the company has no beginning or ending inventories and only Jobs D-75 and C-100 were started, completed, and sold during the year, the cost of goods sold is equal to the sum of the manufacturing costs assigned to both jobs \$3,360,000 (= \$1,810,000 + \$1,550,000).
- 3. The plantwide and departmental approaches produce identical cost of goods sold figures. However, these two approaches lead to different bid prices for Jobs D-75 and C-100. The bid price for Job D-75 using the departmental approach is \$315,000 higher than the bid price using the plantwide approach. This is because the departmental cost pools reflect the fact that Job D-75 is an intensive user of Molding machine-hours. The overhead rate in Molding (\$45) is three times higher than the overhead rate in Fabrication (\$15). Conversely, Job C-100 is an intensive user of the less-expensive Fabrication machine-hours, so its departmental bid price is \$315,000 lower than the plantwide bid price.

### Exercise 2-18 (continued)

Whether a job-order costing system has only one plantwide overhead cost pool or numerous departmental overhead cost pools does not usually have an important impact on the accuracy of the cost of goods sold reported for the company as a whole. However, it can have a huge impact on internal decisions with respect to individual jobs, such as establishing bid prices for those jobs. Job-order costing systems that rely on one plantwide overhead cost pool are commonly used to value ending inventories and cost of goods sold for external reporting purposes, but they can create costing inaccuracies for individual jobs that adversely influence internal decision making.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-19 (30 minutes)

1. a.	Raw Materials Accounts Payable	315,000	315,000
b.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials	216,000 54,000	270,000
C.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Wages and Salaries Payable	80,000 110,000	190,000
d.	Manufacturing Overhead Accumulated Depreciation	63,000	63,000
e.	Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	85,000	85,000
f.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead	300,000	300,000

 $\frac{\text{Predetermined}}{\text{overhead rate}} = \frac{\text{Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost}}{\text{Estimated total amount of the allocation base}}$ 

 $=\frac{$4,320,000}{576,000}$  =\$7.50 per machine-hour

40,000 MHs  $\times$  \$7.50 per MH = \$300,000.

2. Manufacturing Overhead			Work in Process		
(b)	54,000 (1	f) 300,000	(b)	216,000	
(C)	110,000		(C)	80,000	
(d)	63,000		(f)	300,000	
(e)	85,000				

3. The cost of the completed job would be \$596,000 as shown in the Work in Process T-account above. The entry for item (g) would be:

Finished Goods	596,000	
Work in Process		596,000

4. The unit product cost on the job cost sheet would be:  $$596,000 \div 8,000$  units = \$74.50 per unit.

#### Exercise 2-20 (30 minutes)

1. Since \$320,000 of studio overhead cost was applied to Work in Process on the basis of \$200,000 of direct staff costs, the apparent predetermined overhead rate was 160%:

 $\frac{\text{Studio overhead applied}}{\text{Total amount of the allocation base}} = \frac{\$320,000}{\$200,000 \text{ direct staff costs}}$ = 160% of direct staff costs

 The Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project is the only job remaining in Work in Process at the end of the month; therefore, the entire \$40,000 balance in the Work in Process account at that point must apply to it. Recognizing that the predetermined overhead rate is 160% of direct staff costs, the following computation can be made:

Total cost added to the Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project	\$40,000
Less: Direct staff costs \$13,500	)
Studio overhead cost	
(\$13,500 × 160%) <u>21,600</u>	<u>35,100</u>
Costs of subcontracted work	<u>\$ 4,900</u>

With this information, we can now complete the job cost sheet for the Krimmer Corporation Headquarters project:

Costs of subcontracted work	\$ 4,900
Direct staff costs	13,500
Studio overhead	21,600
Total cost to January 31	<u>\$40,000</u>

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Problem 2-21A (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate was:
Y = \$1,275,000 + \$3.00 per hour × 85,000 hours
Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead \$1,275,000 Estimated variable manufacturing overhead \$3.00 per computer hour × 85,000 hours 255,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost $\frac{120,000}{1,530,000}$
The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:
Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)\$1,530,000Estimated total computer hours (b)85,000 hoursPredetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)\$18.00 per hour
<ol> <li>Actual manufacturing overhead cost</li></ol>
hours × \$18 per computer hour $1,080,000$ Underapplied overhead cost $\frac{1}{270,000}$
3. Cost of Goods Sold270,000Manufacturing Overhead270,000
This entry will decrease net operating income.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Problem 2-22A (30 minutes)

1.	Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning* Add: Purchases of raw materials* Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending* Raw materials used in production Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory* Total manufacturing costs* Add: Beginning work in process inventory	<u>260,0</u> 310,0 <u>40,0</u> 	<u>)00</u> )00
	Cost of goods manufactured		<u>\$690,000</u>
2.	Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning* Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale* Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjusted cost of goods sold* Add: Underapplied overhead Adjusted cost of goods sold	<u>690,0</u> 720,0 <u>55,0</u> <u>665,0</u> <u>10,0</u>	000 000 000 000 000
01	Valenko Company		
	Income Statement Sales Cost of goods sold (\$665,000 + \$10,000) Gross margin Selling and administrative expenses: Selling expenses* Administrative expense* Net operating income*	\$215,000 <u>160,000</u>	\$1,085,000 <u>675,000</u> 410,000 <u>375,000</u> <u>\$35,000</u>
* G	iven		

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Problem 2-23A (45 minutes)

1. The cost of raw materials put into production was:

Raw materials inventory, 1/1	\$ 30,000
Debits (purchases of materials)	420,000
Materials available for use	450,000
Raw materials inventory, 12/31	60,000
Materials requisitioned for production	<u>\$390,000</u>

Of the \$390,000 in materials requisitioned for production, \$320,000 was debited to Work in Process as direct materials. Therefore, the difference of \$70,000 (\$390,000 - \$320,000 = \$70,000) would have been debited to Manufacturing Overhead as indirect materials.

3.	Total factory wages accrued during the year	
	(credits to the Factory Wages Payable account)	\$175,000
	Less direct labor cost (from Work in Process)	110,000
	Indirect labor cost	<u>\$ 65,000</u>

- 4. The cost of goods manufactured for the year was \$810,000—the credits to Work in Process.
- 5. The Cost of Goods Sold for the year was:

Finished goods inventory, 1/1	\$ 40,000
Add: Cost of goods manufactured (from Work in Process).	<u>810,000</u>
Cost of goods available for sale	850,000
Deduct: Finished goods inventory, 12/31	130,000
Cost of goods sold	<u>\$720,000</u>

6. The predetermined overhead rate was:

Predetermined = Manufacturing overhead cost applied overhead rate Direct materials cost

 $=\frac{\$400,000}{\$320,000}=125\%$  of direct materials cost

### Problem 2-23A (continued)

7. Manufacturing overhead was overapplied by \$15,000, computed as follows:

Actual manufacturing overhead cost for the year (debits)	\$385,000
Applied manufacturing overhead cost (from Work in Process—this would be the credits to the	
Manufacturing Overhead account)	400,000
Overapplied overhead	<u>\$(15,000</u> )

8. The ending balance in Work in Process is \$90,000. Direct labor makes up \$18,000 of this balance, and manufacturing overhead makes up \$40,000. The computations are:

Balance, Work in Process, 12/31	\$90,000
Less: Direct materials cost (given)	(32,000)
Manufacturing overhead cost	
(\$32,000 × 125%)	<u>(40,000</u> )
Direct labor cost (remainder)	

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Problem 2-24A (60 minutes)

1. a.

 $\frac{\text{Predetermined}}{\text{overhead rate}} = \frac{\text{Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost}}{\text{Estimated total amount of the allocation base}}$ 

 $=\frac{\$126,000}{\$84,000 \text{ direct labor cost}}=150\% \text{ of direct labor cost}$ 

b. Actual manufacturing overhead costs:

Insurance, factory	\$ 7,000
Depreciation of equipment	18,000
Indirect labor	42,000
Property taxes	9,000
Maintenance	11,000
Rent, building	<u>36,000</u>
Total actual costs	123,000
Applied manufacturing overhead costs:	
\$80,000 × 150%	120,000
Underapplied overhead	<u>\$ 3,000</u>

#### 2.

#### Pacific Manufacturing Company Schedule of Cost of Goods Manufactured

Direct materials:		
Raw materials inventory, beginning	\$ 21,000	
Add: Purchases of raw materials	<u>133,000</u>	
Total raw materials available	154,000	
Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending	<u>   16,000  </u>	
Raw materials used in production		\$138,000
Direct labor		80,000
Manufacturing overhead applied to work in		
process		120,000
Total manufacturing cost		338,000
Add: Work in process, beginning		44,000
		382,000
Deduct: Work in process, ending		40,000
Cost of goods manufactured		<u>\$342,000</u>

## Problem 2-24A (continued)

Add: Cost of goods manufactured       34         Cost of goods available for sale       41         Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending       6	58,000 <u>42,000</u> 10,000 <u>50,000</u> 50,000
Direct labor2Overhead applied (150% $\times$ \$4,200)6	3,200 4,200 5 <u>,300</u> 3 <u>,700</u>
<ul> <li>5. The amount of overhead cost in Work in Process was:</li> <li>\$8,000 direct labor cost × 150% = \$12,000</li> <li>The amount of direct materials cost in Work in Process was</li> </ul>	201
Total ending work in process Deduct: Direct labor	\$40,000 <u>20,000</u> <u>\$20,000</u>
The completed schedule of costs in Work in Process was: Direct materials	
Direct labor	

Work in process inventory..... <u>\$40,000</u>

# Problem 2-25A (120 minutes)

1.	a.	Raw Materials Accounts Payable	142,000	142,000
	b.	Work in Process Raw Materials	150,000	150,000
	c.	Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	21,000	21,000
	d.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Salaries Expense Salaries and Wages Payable	216,000 90,000 145,000	451,000
	e.	Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	15,000	15,000
	f.	Advertising Expense Accounts Payable	130,000	130,000
	g.	Manufacturing Overhead Depreciation Expense Accumulated Depreciation	45,000 5,000	50,000
	h.	Manufacturing Overhead Rent Expense Accounts Payable	72,000 18,000	90,000
	i.	Miscellaneous Expense Accounts Payable	17,000	17,000
	j.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead	240,000	240,000
		Estimated total manufacturing overheat Estimated direct materials cost		\$248,000 \$155,000
			=	160% of direct materials cost.
		$\pm 150,000$ dimensionly experience exactly $1600/$	+240 000	) applied

150,000 direct materials cost  $\times$  160% = 240,000 applied.

# Problem 2-25A (continued)

k. Finished Goods Work in Process	590,000	590,000
I. Accounts Receivable Sales	1,000,000	1,000,000
Cost of Goods Sold Finished Goods	600,000	600,000

2.						
	Accounts Receivabl	е		Raw Materials		
(I)	1,000,000		Bal.	18,000	(b)	150,000
.,			(a)	142,000		-
			Bal.	10,000		
	Work in Process			Finished	l Coode	
Del		<u> </u>	Del			<u> </u>
Bal.	24,000 (k)	590,000	Bal.	35,000	(1)	600,000
(b)	150,000		(k)	590,000		
(d)	216,000					
(j)	240,000					
Bal.	40,000		Bal.	25,000		
	Manufacturing Overh	ead		Accounts	Pavable	ć
(c)	21,000 (j)	240,000			(a)	142,000
(d)	90,000	210,000			(c)	21,000
(e)	15,000				(e)	15,000
. ,	45,000				(C) (f)	130,000
(g)	-					•
<u>(h)</u>	72,000				(h)	90,000
Bal.	3,000				(i)	17,000
	Accumulated Deprecia	ition		Depreciatio	n Expen	ise
	(g)	50,000	(g)	5,000		
	Salaries & Wages Paya	ahle		Salaries	Fynenco	
			$\overline{(d)}$			·
	(d)	451,000	(d)	145,000	I	
	Miscellaneous Expen	se		Advertisin	a Expens	se

	Miscellaneous Expense		Advertising Expense	
(i)	17,000	(f)	130,000	

# Problem 2-25A (continued)

Rent Expense(h)18,000	Cost of Goods Sold (I) 600,000
Sales (I) 1,000,000	
	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{142,000} \\ \underline{160,000} \\ ending \underline{10,000} \\ \underline{10,000} \\ \\ \underline{150,000} \\ 216,000 \\ 216,000 \\ \underline{240,000} \\ 606,000 \\ \underline{24,000} \\ 630,000 \\ \underline{40,000} \end{array}$
<ul> <li>4. Cost of Goods Sold Manufacturing Overhead</li> <li>Schedule of cost of goods sold: Finished goods inventory, beginnin Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, Unadjusted cost of goods sold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjusted cost of goods sold</li> </ul>	ng \$ 35,000 

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

### Problem 2-25A (continued)

5.

#### Southworth Company Income Statement

Sales		\$1,000,000
Cost of goods sold		<u>    603,000</u>
Gross margin		397,000
Selling and administrative expenses:		
Salaries expense	\$145,000	
Advertising expense	130,000	
Depreciation expense	5,000	
Rent expense	18,000	
Miscellaneous expense	17,000	315,000
Net operating income		<u>\$ 82,000</u>

#### 6.

Direct materials	\$ 3,600
Direct labor (400 hours $\times$ \$11 per hour)	4,400
Manufacturing overhead cost applied ( $160\% \times $3,600$ )	<u>5,760</u>
Total manufacturing cost	13,760
Add markup (75% × \$13,760)	<u>10,320</u>
Total billed price of Job 218	<u>\$24,080</u>

 $24,080 \div 500$  units = 48.16 per unit.

## Problem 2-26A (30 minutes)

1. Preparation Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Preparation Department is computed as follows:

\$2.00 per MH  $\times$  80,000 MHs ...... <u>160,000</u> Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost ...... <u>\$416,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$416,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	80,000 M	Hs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$5.20 pe	er MH

Fabrication Department:

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost in the Fabrication Department is computed as follows:

$$Y = $520,000 + $4.00 \text{ per DLH} \times 50,000 \text{ DLH}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead ...... \$520,000 Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:

\$4.00 per DLH × 50,000 DLHs	<u>200,000</u>
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$720,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$720,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	50,000	DLHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$14.40	per DLH

## Problem 2-26A (continued)

2.	Preparation Department overhead applied:
	350 machine-hours $\times$ \$5.20 per machine-hour \$1,820
	Fabrication Department overhead applied:
	130 direct labor-hours $\times$ \$14.40 per labor-hour <u>1,872</u>
	Total overhead cost

#### 3. Total cost of Job 127:

	Preparation	Fabrication	Total
Direct materials	\$ 940	\$1,200	\$2,140
Direct labor	710	980	1,690
Manufacturing overhead	<u>1,820</u>	<u>1,872</u>	<u>3,692</u>
Total cost	<u>\$3,470</u>	<u>\$4,052</u>	<u>\$7,522</u>

Unit product cost for Job 127:		
Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$7,522	
Number of units in the job (b)	25	units
Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b)	\$300.88	per unit

#### 4.

Manufacturing overhead cost incurred	<i>Preparation</i> \$390,000	<i>Fabrication</i> \$740,000
Manufacturing overhead cost applied:		
73,000 machine-hours × \$5.20 per		
machine-hour 54,000 direct labor-hours × \$14.40	379,600	
per direct labor-hour		777,600
Underapplied (or overapplied) overhead	<u>\$ 10,400</u>	<u>\$ (37,600</u> )

#### $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Problem 2-27A (45 minutes)

1. a.	Raw Materials Accounts Payable	160,000	160,000
b.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Raw Materials	120,000 20,000	140,000
C.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead Sales Commissions Expense Salaries Expense Salaries and Wages Payable	90,000 60,000 20,000 50,000	220,000
d.	Manufacturing Overhead Insurance Expense Prepaid Insurance	13,000 5,000	18,000
e.	Manufacturing Overhead Accounts Payable	10,000	10,000
f.	Advertising Expense Accounts Payable	15,000	15,000
g.	Manufacturing Overhead Depreciation Expense Accumulated Depreciation	20,000 5,000	25,000
h.	Work in Process Manufacturing Overhead	110,000	110,000
	nated total manufacturing overhead cost nated total amount of the allocation base	£99,000 45,000 MHs	=£2.20 per MH

50,000 actual MHs  $\times$  £2.20 per MH = £110,000 overhead applied.

## Problem 2-27A (continued)

i.	Finished Goo Work in F		ess			310	,000	310,000
j.	Cost of Good	ls Sc					,000 ,000	498,000 308,000
2.	Raw Mate	erials	6		Woi	rk in	Process	6
Bal.	10,000 (	(b)	140,000	Bal.		000	(i)	310,000
(a)	160,000			(b)	120,			
				(C)	,	000		
				<u>(h)</u>	110,	000		
Bal.	30,000			Bal.	14,	000		
	Finished G	Good		Ma	anufac	cturir	ng Over	head
Bal.	8,000 (	(j)	308,000	(b)	20,	000	(h)	110,000
(i)	310,000			(C)	60,	000		
				(d)	13,	000		
				(e)	10,	000		
				(g)	20,	000		
Bal.	10,000			Bal.	13,	000		
	Cost of Goo	ds S	old					
(j)	308,000							
3 M	anufacturing (	worl	head is un	dorannliod	by f1		)() for th	na vaar The

3. Manufacturing overhead is underapplied by £13,000 for the year. The entry to close this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

Cost of Goods Sold	13,000
Manufacturing Overhead	13,000

## Problem 2-27A (continued)

#### 4.

#### Sovereign Millwork, Ltd. Income Statement For the Year Ended June 30

Sales		£498,000
Cost of goods sold (£308,000 + £13,000)		<u>321,000</u>
Gross margin		177,000
Selling and administrative expenses:		
Sales commissions	£20,000	
Administrative salaries	50,000	
Insurance expense	5,000	
Advertising expenses	15,000	
Depreciation expense	5,000	<u>95,000</u>
Net operating income		<u>£ 82,000</u>

# Problem 2-28A (60 minutes)

1. and 2.

	Ca	ash			Accounts	Receivat	ole
Bal.	15,000	(C)	225,000	Bal.	40,000	(I)	445,000
(I)	445,000	(m)	150,000	(k)	450,000		
Bal.	85,000			Bal.	45,000		
	5 14					-	
		aterials				Process	
Bal.	25,000	(b)	90,000	Bal.	30,000	(j)	310,000
(a)	80,000			(b)	85,000		
				(C)	120,000		
				<u>(i)</u>	96,000		
Bal.	15,000			Bal.	21,000		
	Finishe	d Goods			Prepaid I	nsurano	۵
Bal.			300,000	Bal.	5,000	1	4,800
(j)	310,000		500,000	Dai.	5,000	(')	1,000
Bal.	55,000			Bal.	200		
	,	I				I	
	Buildings &	Equipm	ent	Α	ccumulated	Depreci	
Bal.	500,000					Bal.	210,000
						(e)	30,000
						Bal.	240,000
	Manufacturi	na Overl	nead		Accounts	s Pavable	د
(b)	5,000		96,000	(m)	150,000		75,000
(c)	30,000	(')	50,000	()	130,000	(a)	80,000
(d)	12,000					(d)	12,000
(e)	25,000					(g)	40,000
(f)	4,000					(h)	17,000
(h)	17,000					('')	17,000
<u>()</u>		Bal.	3,000			Bal.	74,000
$*\frac{\$80,000}{\$100,000} = 80\%$ of direct labor cost; $\$120,000 \times 0.80 = \$96,000$ .							
	Retained	Earning	S		Commo	n Stock	
		Bal.	125,000			Bal.	250,000
			,			ı	,

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

# Problem 2-28A (continued)

Salaries Expense	Depreciation Expense
(c) 75,000	(e) 5,000
Insurance Expense (f) 800	Shipping Expense (g) 40,000
Cost of Goods Sold	Sales
(k) 300,000	(k) 450,000
3. Manufacturing overhead was overa journal entry would be recorded as	
Manufacturing Overhead Cost of Goods Sold	
4.	
Fantastic P Income St For the Year Ende	atement
Sales Cost of goods sold (\$300,000 – \$3 Gross margin Selling and administrative expense Salaries expense Depreciation expense Insurance expense Shipping expense	153,000 es: 
Net operating income	<u>\$ 32,200</u>

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Case (60 minutes)

1. a.

 $\frac{\text{Predetermined}}{\text{overhead rate}} = \frac{\text{Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost}}{\text{Estimated total amount of the allocation base}}$ 

 $=\frac{\$1,440,000}{\$900,000 \text{ direct labor cost}}=160\% \text{ of direct labor cost}$ 

b. \$21,200 × 160% = \$33,920.

2. a.

	Cutting	Machining	,
	Department	Department	Department
Estimated manufacturing overhead cost (a)	\$540,000	\$800,000	\$100,000
Estimated direct labor cost (b)	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$400,000
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	180%	400%	25%

#### b.

Cutting Department:	
\$6,500 × 180%	\$11,700
Machining Department:	
\$1,700 × 400%	6,800
Assembly Department:	
\$13,000 × 25%	3,250
Total applied overhead	<u>\$21,750</u>

3. The bulk of the labor cost on the Hastings job is in the Assembly Department, which incurs very little overhead cost. The department has an overhead rate of only 25% of direct labor cost as compared to much higher rates in the other two departments. Therefore, as shown above, use of departmental overhead rates results in a relatively small amount of overhead cost charged to the job.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Case (continued)

However, use of a plantwide overhead rate in effect redistributes overhead costs proportionately between the three departments (at 160% of direct labor cost) and results in a large amount of overhead cost being charged to the Hastings job, as shown in Part 1. This may explain why the company bid too high and lost the job. Too much overhead cost was assigned to the job for the kind of work being done on the job in the plant.

If a plantwide overhead rate is being used, the company will tend to charge too little overhead cost to jobs that require a large amount of labor in the Cutting or Machining Departments. The reason is that the plantwide overhead rate (160%) is much lower than the rates if these departments were considered separately.

4. The company's bid price was:

Direct materials	\$ 18,500
Direct labor	21,200
Manufacturing overhead applied (above)	<u>33,920</u>
Total manufacturing cost	73,620
Bidding rate	<u>× 1.5</u>
Total bid price	<u>\$110,430</u>

If departmental overhead rates had been used, the bid price would have been:

Direct materials	\$ 18,500
Direct labor	21,200
Manufacturing overhead applied (above)	<u>    21,750</u>
Total manufacturing cost	61,450
Bidding rate	<u>× 1.5</u>
Total bid price	<u>\$ 92,175</u>

Note that if departmental overhead rates had been used, Lenko Products would have been the low bidder on the Hastings job since the competitor underbid Lenko by only \$10,000.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

# Case (continued)

# 5. a.

Actual overhead cost	\$1,482,000
Applied overhead cost ( $\$870,000 \times 160\%$ )	<u>1,392,000</u>
Underapplied overhead cost	<u>\$ 90,000</u>

b.

		Department	<u></u>	
	Cutting	Machining	Assembly	Total Plant
Actual overhead cost	\$560,000	\$830,000	\$92,000	\$1,482,000
Applied overhead cost:				
\$320,000 × 180%	576,000			
\$210,000 × 400%		840,000		
\$340,000 × 25%			<u>85,000</u>	<u>1,501,000</u>
Underapplied (overapplied)				
overhead cost	<u>\$(16,000</u> )	<u>\$(10,000</u> )	<u>\$ 7,000</u>	<u>\$ (19,000</u> )

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## Ethics Challenge (45 minutes)

- 1. Shaving 5% off the estimated direct labor-hours in the predetermined overhead rate will result in an artificially high overhead rate, which is likely to result in overapplied overhead for the year. The cumulative effect of overapplying the overhead throughout the year is all recognized in December when the balance in the Manufacturing Overhead account is closed out to Cost of Goods Sold. If the balance were closed out every month or every quarter, this effect would be dissipated over the course of the year.
- 2. This question may generate lively debate. Where should Cristin Madsen's loyalties lie? Is she working for the general manager of the division or for the corporate controller? Is there anything wrong with the "Christmas bonus"? How far should Cristin go in bucking her boss on a new job?

While individuals can certainly disagree about what Cristin should do, some of the facts are indisputable. First, the practice of understating direct labor-hours results in artificially inflating the overhead rate. This has the effect of inflating the cost of goods sold figures in all months prior to December and overstating the costs of inventories. In December, the adjustment for overapplied overhead provides a big boost to net operating income. Therefore, the practice results in distortions in the pattern of net operating income over the year. In addition, since all of the adjustment is taken to Cost of Goods Sold, inventories are still overstated at year-end. This means that retained earnings is also overstated.

While Cristin is in an extremely difficult position, her responsibilities under the IMA's Statement of Ethical Professional Practice seem to be clear. The Credibility standard states that management accountants have a responsibility to "disclose all relevant information that could reasonably be expected to influence an intended user's understanding of the reports, analyses, or recommendations." Cristin should discuss this situation with her immediate supervisor in the controller's office at corporate headquarters. This step may bring her into direct conflict with the general manager of the division, so it would be a very difficult decision for her to make.

## Ethics Challenge (continued)

In the actual situation that this case is based on, the corporate controller's staff were aware of the general manager's accounting tricks, but top management of the company supported the general manager because "he comes through with the results" and could be relied on to hit the annual profit targets for his division. Personally, we would be very uncomfortable supporting a manager who will resort to deliberate distortions to achieve "results." If the manager will pull tricks in this area, what else might he be doing that is questionable or even perhaps illegal?

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

## **Teamwork in Action**

1. The types of transactions that are posted to the accounts may be summarized in T-account form as follows:

Raw M	aterials		
Beginning balance			
Purchases	Direct materials used (to Work in		
	Process)		
Accounts	a Payable		
	Beginning balance		
Payments to suppliers	Purchases of raw materials		
Work in	Process		
Beginning balance			
Direct materials used (from Raw	Cost of goods manufactured (to		
Materials)	Finished Goods)		
Direct labor			
Manufacturing overhead applied			
Manufacturing Overhead			
Actual manufacturing costs	Manufacturing overhead applied		
Overhead overapplied (to COGS)	Overhead underapplied (to COGS)		
Finished	d Goods		
Beginning balance			
Cost of goods manufactured (from	Cost of goods sold		
WIP)			
Cost of G	oods Sold		
Cost of goods sold	Overhead every pailed (from		
Overhead underapplied (from Manufacturing Overhead)	Overhead overapplied (from Manufacturing Overhead)		
Manufacturing Overhead)	Manufacturing Overhead)		

### Teamwork in Action (continued)

2. The predetermined overhead rate and overhead applied amounts are: Predetermined overhead rate:

\$180,000 ÷ 60,000 DLHs = \$3 per DLH.
Overhead applied:
5,200 DLHs × \$3 per DLH = \$15,600

3. The balance in the work in process account is determined as follows:

Direct materials (given)	\$2,600
Direct labor (300 DLHs × \$6 per DLH)	1,800
Overhead applied (300 DLHs × \$3 per DLH)	900
Total	<u>\$5,300</u>

4. The completed T-accounts follow:

Accounts Payable						
(c) Payments 40,000 (c) Balance 4/1 6,000						
			(plug)	Purchases	42,000	
			(given)	Balance 4/30	8,000	

#### Work in Process

	* *		50055		
(given)	Balance 4/1	4,500	(f)	Cost of goods manufactured	89,000
(b,d)		31,200			
(above)	Overhead applied	15,600			
(plug)	Direct materials	43,000			
(above)	Balance 4/30	5,300			

\* 5,200 DLHs × \$6 per DLH = \$31,200

#### **Raw Materials**

		1.011	i lacellais		
(given)	Balance 4/1	12,000	(above)	Direct materials	43,000
(above)	Purchases	42,000			
	Balance 4/30	11,000			

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

# Teamwork in Action (continued)

	Ma	anufactur	ing Overh	ead	
(given)	Actual costs for	14,800	(above)	Overhead	15,600
	April			applied	
	To cost of	800		Overapplied	800
	goods sold			overhead	
		Finishe	d Goods		
(e)	Balance 4/1	11,000	(plug)	Cost of goods	84,000
				sold	·
(f)	Cost of goods	89,000			
	manufactured	-			
(given)	Balance 4/30	16,000			
() - )		,			
		Cost of C	Goods Solo	ł	
(above)	Cost of goods	84,000	(above)	Overapplied	800
(ubove)	sold	0 1/000	(00010)	overhead	000
		83,200			
		-			

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

# **Communicating in Practice**

Date: Current date

To: Instructor

From: Student's Name

Subject: Talk with a Controller

The student's memorandum should address the following:

- The name, title, and job affiliation of the individual interviewed. (Note: Not specifically required in problem but essential and, as such, a good topic for class discussion, if appropriate.)
- A list of the company's main products.
- Identification of the type of costing system in use (job-order, process, or other).
- Brief description of how overhead is assigned to products (including basis for allocation and whether more than one overhead rate is in use).
- Indication as to whether any changes have been made to or are being considered in relation to the company's costing system, and, if applicable, a brief description of the changes.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

# **Chapter 2** Take Two Solutions

## Exercise 2-1 (10 minutes)

The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

Y = \$466,000 + (\$3.00 per DLH)(50,000 DLHs)

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$466,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead:	
\$3.00 per DLH × 50,000 DLHs	<u>150,000</u>
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	<u>\$616,000</u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$616,000	
Estimated total direct labor hours (DLHs) (b)	50,000	DLHs
= Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\div$ (b)	\$12.32	per DLH

Note to Instructors: Ask students why this overhead rate (\$12.32) is lower than the overhead rate in the original data set (\$14.65). The "take two" rate is lower because the fixed overhead is being spread over more direct labor-hours.

#### Exercise 2-2 (10 minutes)

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	12,600
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$23.10
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$291,060

Note to Instructors: Use the "take two" data to emphasize the point that the manufacturing overhead applied to jobs is unaffected by the actual manufacturing overhead costs incurred.

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

# Exercise 2-3 (10 minutes)

1. Total direct labor-hours	required for	or Job A-200:
-----------------------------	--------------	---------------

Direct labor cost (a)	\$120
Direct labor wage rate per hour (b)	\$12
Total direct labor hours (a) ÷ (b)	10
Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job	A-200:
Direct materials Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied	\$200 120
(\$24 per DLH × 10 DLHs)	<u>240</u>
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$560</u>

# 2. Unit product cost for Job A-200:

Total manufacturing cost (a)	\$560
Number of units in the job (b)	50
Unit product cost (a) ÷ (b)	\$11.20

#### Exercise 2-6 (20 minutes)

1.	Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Raw materials used in production Deduct: Indirect materials included in	\$24,000 <u>53,000</u> 77,000 <u>25,000</u> 52,000	
	Direct labor	8,000	\$ 44,000 62,000
	Total manufacturing overhead applied to work in Total manufacturing costs Add: Beginning work in process inventory		<u>41,000</u> 147,000 <u>41,000</u>
	Deduct: Ending work in process inventory Cost of goods manufactured		188,000 <u>43,000</u> <u>\$145,000</u>
2.	Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjusted cost of goods sold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjusted cost of goods sold	\$ 86,000 <u>145,000</u> 231,000 <u>93,000</u> 138,000 <u>8,000</u> <u>\$146,000</u>	

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold without preparing any schedules. They should see that there is a \$24,000 increase in ending inventories and this will decrease cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold by \$24,000. Given that the cost of goods manufactured and cost of goods sold in the original scenario were \$169,000 and \$170,000, respectively, the corresponding amounts in the "take two" scenario are \$145,000 and \$146,000, respectively.

#### Exercise 2-7 (10 minutes)

1. The underapplied overhead is computed as follows:

Actual direct labor-hours (a)	8,250
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	\$21.40
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$176,550
Deduct: Manufacturing overhead incurred	<u>178,000</u>
Underapplied manufacturing overhead	<u>\$ 1,450</u>

2. Because manufacturing overhead is underapplied, the cost of goods sold would increase by \$1,450 and the gross margin would decrease by \$1,450.

Note to Instructors: Students often erroneously believe that if the actual quantity of the allocation base exceeds the denominator volume, then manufacturing overhead must be overapplied. The "take two" data is purposely intended to dispel this notion.

# Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

1.	Cost of Goods Manufactured Direct materials: Raw materials inventory, beginning Add: Purchases of raw materials Total raw materials available Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending Raw materials used in production Direct labor Manufacturing overhead applied to work in process inventory Total manufacturing costs Add: Beginning work in process inventory Deduct: Ending work in process inventory	\$ 8,000 <u>132,000</u> 140,000 <u>8,000</u>	\$132,000 90,000 $\underline{210,000}$ 432,000 $\underline{5,000}$ 437,000 $\underline{16,000}$ $\underline{$421,000}$
Ζ.	Cost of Goods Sold Finished goods inventory, beginning Add: Cost of goods manufactured Cost of goods available for sale Deduct: Finished goods inventory, ending Unadjusted cost of goods sold Add: Underapplied overhead Adjusted cost of goods sold		
3.			

#### Eccles Company Income Statement

Sales	\$643,000
Cost of goods sold (\$466,000 + \$10,000)	476,000
Gross margin	167,000
Selling and administrative expenses:	
Selling expenses \$100,000	
Administrative expense 43,000	<u>143,000</u>
Net operating income	<u>\$ 24,000</u>

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-8 (30 minutes)

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the net operating income without preparing any schedules. They should see that there is a \$6,000 decrease in ending inventories. This will increase cost of goods sold by \$6,000 and decrease net operating income by \$6,000. Given that the net operating income in the original scenario was \$30,000, the "take two" scenario has a net operating income of \$24,000.

### Exercise 2-9 (10 minutes)

Yes, overhead should be applied to value the Work in Process inventory at year-end.

Because \$15,000 of overhead was applied to Job X on the basis of \$5,000 of direct labor cost, the company's predetermined overhead rate must be 300% of direct labor cost.

Job Q direct labor cost (a)	\$8,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	300%
Manufacturing overhead applied to Job Q (a) $\times$ (b)	\$24,000

<sup>©</sup> The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-10 (10 minutes)

Direct material	\$12,000
Direct labor	10,000
Manufacturing overhead applied:	
\$10,000 × 120%	12,000
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$34,000</u>
Unit product cost:	
\$34,000 ÷ 200 units	\$170

Note to Instructors: In instances such as this, students often struggle to understand that changing the direct labor charged to the job also influences the amount of manufacturing overhead applied to the job.

## Exercise 2-12 (20 minutes)

1. The estimated total manufacturing overhead cost is computed as follows:

$$Y = $750,000 + $4.00 \text{ per MH} \times 120,000 \text{ MHs}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$ 750,000
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$4.00 per MH × 120,000 MHs	480,000
Estimated total manufacturing overhead cost	

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$1,230,000	
Estimated total machine-hours (MHs) (b)	120,000	MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b)	\$10.25	per MH

2. Total manufacturing cost assigned to Job 500:

Direct materials	\$350.00
Direct labor	230.00
Manufacturing overhead applied	
\$10.25 per MH × 30 MHs	<u>307.50</u>
Total manufacturing cost	<u>\$887.50</u>

3. Computing underapplied/overapplied overhead:

Actual machine-hours (a)	147,000
Predetermined overhead rate (b)	
Manufacturing overhead applied (a) $\times$ (b)	\$1,506,750
Actual manufacturing overhead	\$1,325,000
Manufacturing overhead applied	<u>1,506,750</u>
Overapplied overhead	<u>\$ (181,750)</u>

The closing entry would decrease cost of goods sold by \$181,750 and increase net operating income by \$181,750.

Note to Instructors: Comparing the "take two" results to the original results enables you to discuss the concept of a death spiral. When the

© The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2013. All rights reserved.

#### Exercise 2-12 (continued)

denominator volume drops and fixed overhead remains unchanged, the predetermined overhead rate increases. This increases the amount of overhead applied to all jobs. If Kody uses cost-plus pricing, the price assigned to all jobs will increase. If some customers reject Kody's higher prices and take their business elsewhere, the denominator volume will continue to decline and the predetermined overhead rate will continue to climb; thereby, initiating a death spiral.

## Exercise 2-13 (15 minutes)

<ol> <li>Actual manufacturing overhead costs</li> <li>Manufacturing overhead applied: 10,000 MH × \$5 per MH</li> <li>Overapplied overhead cost</li> </ol>	\$48,000 <u>50,000</u> <u>\$ (2,000)</u>	
<ol> <li>Direct materials:         <ul> <li>Raw materials inventory, beginning</li> <li>Add: Purchases of raw materials</li> <li>Raw materials available for use</li> <li>Deduct: Raw materials inventory, ending</li> <li>Raw materials used in production</li> <li>Direct labor</li> <li>Manufacturing overhead cost applied to work in process</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	\$ 8,000 <u>35,000</u> 43,000 <u>7,000</u>	\$ 36,000 40,000 50,000
Total manufacturing cost		126,000
Add: Work in process, beginning Deduct: Work in process, ending Cost of goods manufactured		<u>6,000</u> 132,000 <u>7,500</u> <u>\$124,500</u>

Note to Instructors: Using the "take two" data, ask students to calculate the cost of goods manufactured without preparing the corresponding schedule. They should see that, if all else holds constant, a \$3,000 increase in the purchase of raw materials creates a \$3,000 increase in the cost of goods manufactured. Given that the cost of goods manufactured in the original data set is \$121,500, the cost of goods manufactured in the "take two" scenario is \$124,500.

## Exercise 2-17 (30 minutes)

1. The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

$$Y = $106,250 + $0.80 \text{ per MH} \times 85,000 \text{ MHs}$$

Estimated fixed manufacturing overhead	\$106,250
Estimated variable manufacturing overhead	
\$0.80 per MH × 85,000 MHs	68,000

Estimated	manufacturing	overhead cost	\$174,250
			<u> </u>

The predetermined overhead rate is computed as follows:

Estimated total manufacturing overhead (a)	\$174,250
Estimated total machine-hours (b)	85,000 MHs
Predetermined overhead rate (a) $\times$ (b)	\$2.05 per MH

The amount of overhead cost applied to Work in Process for the year would be: 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.05 per machine-hour = \$164,000. This amount is shown in entry (a) below:

	Manufacturing Overhead		
(Utilities)	14,000	(a)	164,000
(Insurance)	9,000		
(Maintenance)	33,000		
(Indirect materials)	7,000		
(Indirect labor)	65,000		
(Depreciation)	40,000		
Balance	4,000		
	_		

	_	Work in Process		
(Direct materials)		530,000		
(Direct labor)		85,000		
(Overhead)	(a)	164,000		

3. Overhead is underapplied by \$4,000 for the year, as shown in the Manufacturing Overhead account above. The entry to close out this balance to Cost of Goods Sold would be:

Cost of Goods Sold	4,000	
Manufacturing Overhead		4,000

#### Exercise 2-17 (continued)

4. When overhead is applied using a predetermined rate based on machine-hours, it is assumed that overhead cost is proportional to machine-hours. When the actual level of activity turns out to be 80,000 machine-hours, the costing system assumes that the overhead will be 80,000 machine-hours × \$2.05 per machine-hour, or \$164,000. This is a drop of \$6,000 from the initial estimated total manufacturing overhead cost of \$170,000. However, the actual total manufacturing overhead did not drop by this much. The actual total manufacturing overhead was \$168,000—a drop of only \$2,000 from the estimate. The manufacturing overhead did not decline by the full \$6,000 because of the existence of fixed costs and/or because overhead spending was not under control. These issues will be covered in more detail in later chapters.