## Chapter 3 <br> Descriptive Statistics: Numerical Measures

## Learning Objectives

1. Understand the purpose of measures of location.
2. Be able to compute the mean, weighted mean, geometric mean, median, mode, quartiles, and various percentiles.
3. Understand the purpose of measures of variability.
4. Be able to compute the range, interquartile range, variance, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation.
5. Understand skewness as a measure of the shape of a data distribution. Learn how to recognize when a data distribution is negatively skewed, roughly symmetric, and positively skewed.
6. Understand how $z$ scores are computed and how they are used as a measure of relative location of a data value.
7. Know how Chebyshev's theorem and the empirical rule can be used to determine the percentage of the data within a specified number of standard deviations from the mean.
8. Learn how to construct a 5-number summary and a box plot.
9. Be able to compute and interpret covariance and correlation as measures of association between two variables.
10. Understand the role of summary measures in data dashboards.
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## Solutions:

1. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{75}{5}=15$
$10,12,16,17,20$
Median $=16$ (middle value)
2. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{96}{6}=16$
$10,12,16,17,20,21$
Median $=\frac{16+17}{2}=16.5$
3. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma w_{i} x_{i}}{\Sigma w_{i}}=\frac{6(3.2)+3(2)+2(2.5)+8(5)}{6+3+2+8}=\frac{70.2}{19}=3.69$
b. $\frac{3.2+2+2.5+5}{4}=\frac{12.7}{4}=3.175$
4. 

| Period | Rate of Return (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -6.0 |
| 2 | -8.0 |
| 3 | -4.0 |
| 4 | 2.0 |
| 5 | 5.4 |

The mean growth factor over the five periods is:
$\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[n]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{5}\right)}=\sqrt[5]{(0.940)(0.920)(0.960)(1.020)(1.054)}=\sqrt[5]{0.8925}=0.9775$
So the mean growth rate $(0.9775-1) 100 \%=-2.25 \%$.
5. $15,20,25,25,27,28,30,34$
$L_{20}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{20}{100}(8+1)=1.8$

20th percentile $=15+.8(20-15)=19$
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(8+1)=2.25$
25 th percentile $=20+.25(25-20)=21.25$
$L_{65}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{65}{100}(8+1)=5.85$

65 th percentile $=27+.85(28-27)=27.85$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(8+1)=6.75$
75th percentile $=28+.75(30-28)=29.5$
6. $\quad$ Mean $=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{657}{11}=59.73$

Median $=57 \quad$ 6th item
Mode $=53 \quad$ It appears 3 times
7. a. The mean commute time is $1291.5 / 48=26.91$ minutes .
b. The median commute time is 25.95 minutes.
c. The data are bimodal. The modes are 23.4 and 24.8 .
d. $\quad L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(48+1)=36.75$

75 th percentile $=28.5+.75(28.5-28.5)=28.5$
8. a. Median $=80$ or $\$ 80,000$. The median salary for the sample of 15 middle-level managers working at firms in Atlanta is slightly lower than the median salary reported by the Wall Street Journal.
b. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{1260}{15}=84$

Mean salary is $\$ 84,000$. The sample mean salary for the sample of 15 middle-level managers is greater than the median salary. This indicates that the distribution of salaries for middle-level managers working at firms in Atlanta is positively skewed.
c. The sorted data are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
53 & 55 & 63 & 67 & 73 & 75 & 77 & 80 & 83 & 85 & 93 & 106 & 108 & 118 & 124 \\
L_{25} & =\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(16)=4
\end{array}
$$

First quartile or 25 th percentile is the value in position 4 or 67 .
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(16)=12$
Third quartile or 75th percentile is the value in position 12 or 106.
9. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{30.57}{12}=2.55$
b. Order the data from low 1.88 to high 5.00
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Use $6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ positions.
Median $=\frac{2.34+2.19}{2}=2.265$
c. $\quad L_{25}=\left(\frac{25}{100}\right)(12+1)=3.25 \quad Q_{1}=1.97+.25(2.09-1.97)=2.0$

$$
L_{75}=\left(\frac{75}{100}\right)(12+1)=9.75 \quad Q_{3}=2.56+.75(2.91-2.56)=2.8225
$$

10. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{1318}{20}=65.9$

Order the data from the lowest rating (42) to the highest rating (83)

| Position | Rating | Position | Rating |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 42 | 11 | 67 |
| 2 | 53 | 12 | 67 |
| 3 | 54 | 13 | 68 |
| 4 | 61 | 14 | 69 |
| 5 | 61 | 15 | 71 |
| 6 | 61 | 16 | 71 |
| 7 | 62 | 17 | 76 |
| 8 | 63 | 18 | 78 |
| 9 | 64 | 19 | 81 |
| 10 | 66 | 20 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $L_{50}=\frac{5}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(20+1)=10.5$ |  |  |  |

Median or 50th percentile $=66+.5(67-66)=66.5$
Mode is 61 .
b. $\quad L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(20+1)=5.25$

First quartile or 25 th percentile $=61$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(20+1)=15.75$
Third quartile or 75th percentile $=71$
c. $\quad L_{90}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{90}{100}(20+1)=18.9$

90 th percentile $=78+.9(81-78)=80.7$
3-4
$90 \%$ of the ratings are 80.7 or less; $10 \%$ of the ratings are 80.7 or greater.
11. a. The median number of hours worked per week for high school science teachers is 54 .
b. The median number of hours worked per week for high school English teachers is 47 .
c. The median number of hours worked per week for high school science teachers is greater than the median number of hours worked per week for high school English teachers; the difference is $54-47$ $=7$ hours.
12. a. The minimum number of viewers that watched a new episode is 13.3 million, and the maximum number is 16.5 million.
b. The mean number of viewers that watched a new episode is 15.04 million or approximately 15.0 million; the median also 15.0 million. The data is multimodal (13.6, 14.0, 16.1, and 16.2 million); in such cases the mode is usually not reported.
c. The data are first arranged in ascending order.
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(21+1)=5.50$
First quartile or 25 th percentile $=14+.50(14.1-14)=14.05$

$$
L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(21+1)=16.5
$$

Third quartile or 75th percentile $=16+.5(16.1-16)=16.05$
d. A graph showing the viewership data over the air dates follows. Period 1 corresponds to the first episode of the season, period 2 corresponds to the second episode, and so on.


This graph shows that viewership of The Big Bang Theory has been relatively stable over the 20112012 television season.
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13. Using the mean we get $\bar{x}_{\text {city }}=15.58, \bar{x}_{\text {highway }}=18.92$

For the samples we see that the mean mileage is better on the highway than in the city.

City

## $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}13.2 & 14.4 & 15.2 & 15.3 & 15.3 & 15.3 & 15.9 & 16 & 16.1 & 16.2 & 16.2 & 16.7 & 16.8\end{array}$ <br> $\uparrow$ <br> Median

Mode: 15.3

Highway
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}17.2 & 17.4 & 18.3 & 18.5 & 18.6 & 18.6 & 18.7 & 19.0 & 19.2 & 19.4 & 19.4 & 20.6 & 21.1\end{array}$
$\uparrow$
Median
Mode: 18.6, 19.4
The median and modal mileages are also better on the highway than in the city.
14. For March 2011:
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(50+1)=12.75$

First quartile or 25th percentile $=6.8+.75(6.8-6.8)=6.8$
$L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(50+1)=25.5$
Second quartile or median $=8+.5(8-8)=8$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(50+1)=38.25$
Third quartile or 75 th percentile $=9.4+.25(9.6-9.4)=9.45$

For March 2012:
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(50+1)=12.75$
First quartile or 25 th percentile $=6.2+.75(6.2-6.2)=6.2$
$L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(50+1)=25.5$
Second quartile or median $=7.3+.5(7.4-7.3)=7.35$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(50+1)=38.25$
Third quartile or 75 th percentile $=8.6+.25(8.6-8.6)=8.6$

It may be easier to compare these results if we place them in a table.

|  | March 2011 | March 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| First Quartile | 6.80 | 6.20 |
| Median | 8.00 | 7.35 |
| Third Quartile | 9.45 | 8.60 |

The results show that in March 2012 approximately $25 \%$ of the states had an unemployment rate of $6.2 \%$ or less, lower than in March 2011. And, the median of $7.35 \%$ and the third quartile of $8.6 \%$ in March 2012 are both less than the corresponding values in March 2011, indicating that unemployment rates across the states are decreasing.
15. To calculate the average sales price we must compute a weighted mean. The weighted mean is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{501(34.99)+1425(38.99)+294(36.00)+882(33.59)+715(40.99)+1088(38.59)+1644(39.59)+819(37.99)}{501+1425+294+882+715+1088+1644+819} \\
& \quad=38.11
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the average sales price per case is $\$ 38.11$.
16. a.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Grade } x_{i} & \text { Weight } w_{i} \\
\cline { 2 - 2 }(\mathrm{~A}) & 9 \\
3(\mathrm{~B}) & 15 \\
2(\mathrm{C}) & 33 \\
1(\mathrm{D}) & 3 \\
0(\mathrm{~F}) & \underline{0} 60 \text { Credit Hours } \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum w_{i}}=\frac{9(4)+15(3)+33(2)+3(1)}{9+15+33+3}=\frac{150}{60}=2.50
\end{array}
$$

b. Yes; satisfies the 2.5 grade point average requirement
17. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum w_{i}}=\frac{9191(4.65)+2621(18.15)+1419(11.36)+2900(6.75)}{9191+2621+1419+2900}$

$$
=\frac{126,004.14}{16,131}=7.81
$$

The weighted average total return for the Morningstar funds is $7.81 \%$.
b. If the amount invested in each fund was available, it would be better to use those amounts as weights. The weighted return computed in part (a) will be a good approximation, if the amount invested in the various funds is approximately equal.
c. Portfolio Return $=\frac{2000(4.65)+4000(18.15)+3000(11.36)+1000(6.75)}{2000+4000+3000+1000}$

$$
=\frac{122,730}{10,000}=12.27
$$
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The portfolio return would be $12.27 \%$.
18.

| Assessment | Deans | $w_{i} x_{i}$ | Recruiters | $w_{i} x_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 44 | 220 | 31 | 155 |
| 4 | 66 | 264 | 34 | 136 |
| 3 | 60 | 180 | 43 | 129 |
| 2 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 24 |
| 1 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total | 180 | 684 | 120 | 444 |

Deans: $\quad \bar{x}=\frac{\sum w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum w_{i}}=\frac{684}{180}=3.8$
Recruiters: $\quad \bar{x}=\frac{\sum w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum w_{i}}=\frac{444}{120}=3.7$
Business school deans rated the overall academic quality of master's programs slightly higher than corporate recruiters did.
19. To calculate the mean growth rate we must first compute the geometric mean of the five growth factors:

| Year | \% Growth | Growth Factor $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 5.5 | 1.055 |
| 2008 | 1.1 | 1.011 |
| 2009 | -3.5 | 0.965 |
| 2010 | -1.1 | 0.989 |
| 2011 | 1.8 | 1.018 |
| $\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[n]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{5}\right)}=\sqrt[5]{(1.055)(1.011)(0.965)(0.989)(1.018)}=\sqrt[5]{1.036275}=1.007152$ |  |  |

The mean annual growth rate is $(1.007152-1) 100=0.7152 \%$.
20.

|  | Stivers |  | Trippi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | End of Year <br> Value | Growth <br> Factor | End of Year <br> Value | Growth <br> Factor |
| Year1 | $\$ 11,000$ | 1.100 | $\$ 5,600$ | 1.120 |
| Year 2 | $\$ 12,000$ | 1.091 | $\$ 6,300$ | 1.125 |
| Year 3 | $\$ 13,000$ | 1.083 | $\$ 6,900$ | 1.095 |
| Year 4 | $\$ 14,000$ | 1.077 | $\$ 7,600$ | 1.101 |
| Year 5 | $\$ 15,000$ | 1.071 | $\$ 8,500$ | 1.118 |
| Year 6 | $\$ 16,000$ | 1.067 | $\$ 9,200$ | 1.082 |
| Year 7 | $\$ 17,000$ | 1.063 | $\$ 9,900$ | 1.076 |
| Year 8 | $\$ 18,000$ | 1.059 | $\$ 10,600$ | 1.071 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

For the Stivers mutual fund we have:
$18000=10000\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{8}\right)\right]$, so $\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{8}\right)\right]=1.8$ and
$\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[n]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{8}\right)}=\sqrt[8]{1.80}=1.07624$

So the mean annual return for the Stivers mutual fund is $(1.07624-1) 100=7.624 \%$
For the Trippi mutual fund we have:
$10600=5000\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{8}\right)\right]$, so $\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{8}\right)\right]=2.12$ and
$\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[n]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{8}\right)}=\sqrt[8]{2.12}=1.09848$
So the mean annual return for the Trippi mutual fund is $(1.09848-1) 100=9.848 \%$.
While the Stivers mutual fund has generated a nice annual return of $7.6 \%$, the annual return of $9.8 \%$ earned by the Trippi mutual fund is far superior.
21. $3500=5000\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{9}\right)\right]$, so $\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{9}\right)\right]=0.7$, and so
$\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[n]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{9}\right)}=\sqrt[9]{.7}=.961144$

So the mean annual growth rate is $(0.961144-1) 100=-3.8856 \%$
22. $25,000,000=10,000,000\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{6}\right)\right]$, so $\left[\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{6}\right)\right]=2.50$, and so
$\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[n]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{6}\right)}=\sqrt[6]{2.50}=1.165$

So the mean annual growth rate is $(1.165-1) 100=16.5 \%$
23. Range 20-10=10
$10,12,16,17,20$
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(6)=1.5$

First Quartile or $Q_{1}=10+.5(12-10)=11$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(6)=4.5$

Third Quartile or $Q_{3}=17+.5(20-17)=18.5$
$\mathrm{IQR}=Q_{3}-Q_{1}=18.5-11=7.5$
24. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{75}{5}=15$
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$s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{64}{4}=16$
$s=\sqrt{16}=4$
25. $15,20,25,25,27,28,30,34 \quad$ Range $=34-15=19$
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(9)=2.25$

First Quartile or $Q_{1}=20+.25(25-20)=21.25$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(9)=6.75$

Third Quartile or $Q_{3}=28+.75(30-28)=29.5$
$\mathrm{IQR}=Q_{3}-Q_{1}=29.5-21.25=8.25$
$\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{204}{8}=25.5$
$s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{242}{7}=34.57$
$s=\sqrt{34.57}=5.88$
26. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}}{n}=\frac{74.4}{20}=3.72$
b. $s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1.6516}{20-1}}=\sqrt{.0869}=.2948$
c. The average price for a gallon of unleaded gasoline in San Francisco is much higher than the national average. This indicates that the cost of living in San Francisco is higher than it would be for cities that have an average price close to the national average.
27. a. The mean price for a round-trip flight into Atlanta is $\$ 356.73$, and the mean price for a round-trip flight into Salt Lake City is $\$ 400.95$. Flights into Atlanta are less expensive than flights into Salt Lake City. This possibly could be explained by the locations of these two cities relative to the 14 departure cities; Atlanta is generally closer than Salt Lake City to the departure cities.
b. For flights into Atlanta, the range is $\$ 290.0$, the variance is 5517.41 , and the standard Deviation is $\$ 74.28$. For flights into Salt Lake City, the range is $\$ 458.8$, the variance is 18933.32 , and the standard deviation is $\$ 137.60$.

The prices for round-trip flights into Atlanta are less variable than prices for round-trip flights into Salt Lake City. This could also be explained by Atlanta's relative nearness to the 14 departure cities.
28. a. The mean serve speed is 180.95 , the variance is 21.42 , and the standard deviation is 4.63 .
b. Although the mean serve speed for the twenty Women's Singles serve speed leaders for the 2011 Wimbledon tournament is slightly higher, the difference is very small. Furthermore, given the variation in the twenty Women's Singles serve speed leaders from the 2012 Australian Open and the twenty Women's Singles serve speed leaders from the 2011 Wimbledon tournament, the difference in the mean serve speeds is most likely due to random variation in the players' performances.
29. a. Range $=60-28=32$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}28 & 42 & 45 & 48 & 49 & 50 & 55 \\ 58 & 60\end{array}$
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(10)=2.5 \quad$ First Quartile or $Q_{1}=42+.5(45-42)=43.5$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(10)=7.5 \quad$ Third Quartile or $Q_{3}=55+.5(58-55)=56.5$
$\mathrm{IQR}=Q_{3}-Q_{1}=56.5-43.5=13$
b. $\bar{x}=\frac{435}{9}=48.33$
$\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=742$
$s^{2}=\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{742}{8}=92.75$
$s=\sqrt{92.75}=9.63$
c. The average air quality is about the same. But, the variability is greater in Anaheim.
30. Dawson Supply: Range $=11-9=2$
$s=\sqrt{\frac{4.1}{9}}=0.67$
J.C. Clark: Range $=15-7=8$
$s=\sqrt{\frac{60.1}{9}}=2.58$
31. a.

|  | $\mathbf{1 8}-\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mean | 1368.0 | 1330.1 | 1070.4 |
| median | 1423.0 | 1382.5 | 1163.5 |
| standard deviation | 540.8 | 431.7 | 334.5 |

b. The $45+$ group appears to spend less on coffee than the other two groups, and the 18-34 and 35-44 groups spend similar amounts of coffee.
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32. a. Automotive: $\quad \bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{39201}{20}=1960.05$

Department store: $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{13857}{20}=692.85$
b. Automotive: $\quad s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{(n-1)}}=\sqrt{\frac{4,407,720.95}{19}}=481.65$

Department store: $s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{(n-1)}}=\sqrt{\frac{456804.55}{19}}=155.06$
c. Automotive: $\quad 2901-598=2303$

Department Store: $1011-448=563$
d. Order the data for each variable from the lowest to highest.

|  | Automotive | Department Store |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 598 | 448 |
| 2 | 1512 | 472 |
| 3 | 1573 | 474 |
| 4 | 1642 | 573 |
| 5 | 1714 | 589 |
| 6 | 1720 | 597 |
| 7 | 1781 | 598 |
| 8 | 1798 | 622 |
| 9 | 1813 | 629 |
| 10 | 2008 | 669 |
| 11 | 2014 | 706 |
| 12 | 2024 | 714 |
| 13 | 2058 | 746 |
| 14 | 2166 | 760 |
| 15 | 2202 | 782 |
| 16 | 2254 | 824 |
| 17 | 2366 | 840 |
| 18 | 2526 | 856 |
| 19 | 2531 | 947 |
| 20 | 2901 | 1011 |
| $25=\frac{100}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(21)=5.25$ |  |  |

Automotive: First quartile or $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=1714+.25(1720-1714)=1715.5$
Department Store: First quartile or $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=589+.25(597-589)=591$

$$
L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(21)=15.75
$$

Automotive: Third quartile or $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=2202+.75(2254-2202)=2241$
Department Store: First quartile or $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile $=782+.75(824-782)=813.5$
Automotive $\mathrm{IQR}=\mathrm{Q}_{3}-\mathrm{Q}_{1}=2241-1715.5=525.5$
Department Store $\mathrm{IQR}=\mathrm{Q}_{3}-\mathrm{Q}_{1}=813.5-591=222.5$
e. Automotive spends more on average, has a larger standard deviation, larger max and min, and larger range than Department Store. Autos have all new model years and may spend more heavily on advertising.
33. a. For 2014

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{608}{8}=76
$$

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{30}{7}}=2.07
$$

For 2015

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{608}{8}=76 \\
& s=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{194}{7}}=5.26
\end{aligned}
$$

b. The mean score is 76 for both years, but there is an increase in the standard deviation for the scores in 2015. The golfer is not as consistent in 2015 and shows a sizeable increase in the variation with golf scores ranging from 71 to 85 . The increase in variation might be explained by the golfer trying to change or modify the golf swing. In general, a loss of consistency and an increase in the standard deviation could be viewed as a poorer performance in 2015. The optimism in 2015 is that three of the eight scores were better than any score reported for 2014. If the golfer can work for consistency, eliminate the high score rounds, and reduce the standard deviation, golf scores should show improvement.

## 34. Quarter milers

$s=0.0564$
Coefficient of Variation $=(s / \bar{x}) 100 \%=(0.0564 / 0.966) 100 \%=5.8 \%$
Milers
$s=0.1295$
Coefficient of Variation $=(s / \bar{x}) 100 \%=(0.1295 / 4.534) 100 \%=2.9 \%$
Yes; the coefficient of variation shows that as a percentage of the mean the quarter milers' times show more variability.
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35. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{75}{5}=15$

$$
s^{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{64}{4}}=4
$$

$$
10 \quad z=\frac{10-15}{4}=-1.25
$$

$20 \quad z=\frac{20-15}{4}=+1.25$
$12 z=\frac{12-15}{4}=-.75$
$17 \quad z=\frac{17-15}{4}=+.50$
$16 \quad z=\frac{16-15}{4}=+.25$
36. $z=\frac{520-500}{100}=+.20$
$z=\frac{650-500}{100}=+1.50$
$z=\frac{500-500}{100}=0.00$
$z=\frac{450-500}{100}=-.50$
$z=\frac{280-500}{100}=-2.20$
37. a. $z=\frac{20-30}{5}=-2, z=\frac{40-30}{5}=2 \quad 1-\frac{1}{2^{2}}=.75$ At least $75 \%$
b. $z=\frac{15-30}{5}=-3, z=\frac{45-30}{5}=3 \quad 1-\frac{1}{3^{2}}=.89$ At least $89 \%$
c. $z=\frac{22-30}{5}=-1.6, z=\frac{38-30}{5}=1.6 \quad 1-\frac{1}{1.6^{2}}=.61$ At least $61 \%$
d. $z=\frac{18-30}{5}=-2.4, z=\frac{42-30}{5}=2.4 \quad 1-\frac{1}{2.4^{2}}=.83$ At least $83 \%$
e. $z=\frac{12-30}{5}=-3.6, z=\frac{48-30}{5}=3.6 \quad 1-\frac{1}{3.6^{2}}=.92$ At least $92 \%$
38. a. Approximately $95 \%$
b. Almost all
c. Approximately $68 \%$
39. a. This is from 2 standard deviations below the mean to 2 standard deviations above the mean.

With $z=2$, Chebyshev's theorem gives:
$1-\frac{1}{z^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{2^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{3}{4}=.75$
Therefore, at least $75 \%$ of adults sleep between 4.5 and 9.3 hours per day.
b. This is from 2.5 standard deviations below the mean to 2.5 standard deviations above the mean.

With $z=2.5$, Chebyshev's theorem gives:
$1-\frac{1}{z^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{2.5^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{6.25}=.84$
Therefore, at least $84 \%$ of adults sleep between 3.9 and 9.9 hours per day.
c. With $z=2$, the empirical rule suggests that $95 \%$ of adults sleep between 4.5 and 9.3 hours per day. The percentage obtained using the empirical rule is greater than the percentage obtained using Chebyshev's theorem.
40. a. $\$ 3.33$ is one standard deviation below the mean and $\$ 3.53$ is one standard deviation above the mean. The empirical rule says that approximately $68 \%$ of gasoline sales are in this price range.
b. Part (a) shows that approximately $68 \%$ of the gasoline sales are between $\$ 3.33$ and $\$ 3.53$. Since the bell-shaped distribution is symmetric, approximately half of $68 \%$, or $34 \%$, of the gasoline sales should be between $\$ 3.33$ and the mean price of $\$ 3.43$. $\$ 3.63$ is two standard deviations above the mean price of $\$ 3.43$. The empirical rule says that approximately $95 \%$ of the gasoline sales should be within two standard deviations of the mean. Thus, approximately half of $95 \%$, or $47.5 \%$, of the gasoline sales should be between the mean price of $\$ 3.43$ and $\$ 3.63$. The percentage of gasoline sales between $\$ 3.33$ and $\$ 3.63$ should be approximately $34 \%+47.5 \%=81.5 \%$.
c. $\$ 3.63$ is two standard deviations above the mean and the empirical rule says that approximately $95 \%$ of the gasoline sales should be within two standard deviations of the mean. Thus, $1-95 \%=5 \%$ of the gasoline sales should be more than two standard deviations from the mean. Since the bell-shaped distribution is symmetric, we expected half of $5 \%$, or $2.5 \%$, would be more than $\$ 3.63$.
41. a. 647 is one standard deviation above the mean. Approximately $68 \%$ of the scores are between 447 and 647 with half of $68 \%$, or $34 \%$, of the scores between the mean of 547 and 647 . Also, since the distribution is symmetric, $50 \%$ of the scores are above the mean of 547 . With $50 \%$ of the scores above 547 and with $34 \%$ of the scores between 547 and $647,50 \%-34 \%=16 \%$ of the scores are 647 or higher.
b. 747 is two standard deviations above the mean. Approximately $95 \%$ of the scores are between 347 and 747 with half of $95 \%$, or $47.5 \%$, of the scores between the mean of 547 and 747 . Also, since the distribution is symmetric, $50 \%$ of the scores are above the mean of 547 . With $50 \%$ of the scores

## Chapter 3

above 547 and with $47.5 \%$ of the scores between 547 and $747,50 \%-47.5 \%=2.5 \%$ of the scores are 747 or higher.
c. Approximately $68 \%$ of the scores are between 447 and 647 with half of $68 \%$, or $34 \%$, of the scores are between 447 and the mean of 547.
d. Approximately $95 \%$ of the scores are between 347 and 747 with half of $95 \%$, or $47.5 \%$, of the scores between 347 and the mean of 547. Approximately $68 \%$ of the scores are between 447 and 647 with half of $68 \%$, or $34 \%$, of the scores between the mean of 547 and 647 . Thus, $47.5 \%+34 \%=81.5 \%$ of the scores are between 347 and 647.
42. a. $z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}=\frac{2300-3100}{1200}=-.67$
b. $z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}=\frac{4900-3100}{1200}=1.50$
c. $\$ 2300$ is .67 standard deviations below the mean. $\$ 4900$ is 1.50 standard deviations above the mean. Neither is an outlier.
d. $z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}=\frac{13000-3100}{1200}=8.25$
$\$ 13,000$ is 8.25 standard deviations above the mean. This cost is an outlier.
43. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{106.5}{10}=10.65$

Median $=10.7$
Mode $=10.7$
b. $\quad$ Range $=11.8-8.3=3.5$
$s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{8.085}{9}=.8983$
$s=\sqrt{.8983}=.9478$
c. For the response time of 8.3 , the $z$-score is $z=\frac{8.3-10.65}{.9478}=-2.4794$

Thus, this observation does not appear to be an outlier.
d. The national standard of six minutes is not being met for this neighborhood. The city should consider making changes in its response strategy including relocating stations to reduce the travel time.
44. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{765}{10}=76.5$
$s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{442.5}{10-1}}=7.01$
b. $z=\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s}=\frac{84-76.5}{7.01}=1.07$

Approximately one standard deviation above the mean. Approximately $68 \%$ of the scores are within one standard deviation. Thus, half of the remaining $32 \%$, or $16 \%$, of the games should have a winning score of more than one standard deviation above the mean or a score of 84 or more points.

$$
z=\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s}=\frac{90-76.5}{7.01}=1.93
$$

Approximately two standard deviations above the mean. Approximately 95\% of the scores are within two standard deviations. Thus, half of the remaining $5 \%$, or $2.5 \%$, of the games should have a winning score of more than two standard deviations above the mean or a score of more than 90 points.
c. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{122}{10}=12.2$
$s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{559.6}{10-1}}=7.89$

Smallest margin 3: $\quad z=\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s}=\frac{3-12.2}{7.89}=-1.17$
Largest margin 24: $z=\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s}=\frac{24-12.2}{7.89}=1.50$. No outliers.
45. a. The data in ascending order follow:

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
36 & 38 & 43 & 44 & 44 & 45 & 50 & 55 & 56 & 56 & 57 & 60 & 62 & 65 & 69
\end{array}
$$

$\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{780}{15}=52$
$L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(15+1)=8$
Median is the value in position 8 or 55 .
b. $\quad L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(15+1)=4$

First quartile or 25 th percentile is the value in position 4 or 44 .
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(15+1)=12$
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Third quartile or 75 th percentile is the value in position 12 or 60.
c. The range is $69-36=33$ and the interquartile range is $60-44=16$.
d. $\quad s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{1402}{14}=100.1429$
$s=\sqrt{100.1429}=10.0071$
e. The $z$-score values do not indicate any outliers.
f. The sample mean of $52 \%$ indicates that Wal-Mart does appear to be meeting its goal of reducing the number of hourly employees by about $50 \%$.
46. $15,20,25,25,27,28,30,34$

Smallest $=15$
$L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(8+1)=2.25$
First quartile or 25 th percentile $=20+.25(25-20)=21.25$
$L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(8+1)=4.5$

Second quartile or median $=25+.5(27-25)=26$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(8+1)=6.75$
Third quartile or 75 th percentile $=28+.75(30-28)=29.5$
Largest $=34$
47. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.

48. $5,6,8,10,10,12,15,16,18$

Smallest $=5$

$$
L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(9+1)=2.5
$$

First quartile or 25th percentile $=6+.5(8-6)=7$
$L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(9+1)=5.0$
Second quartile or median $=10$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(9+1)=7.5$
Third quartile or 75 th percentile $=15+.5(16-15)=15.5$
Largest $=18$

A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.

49. $\quad \mathrm{IQR}=50-42=8$

Lower Limit: $\quad Q_{1}-1.5 \mathrm{IQR}=42-12=30$
Upper Limit: $\quad Q_{3}+1.5 \mathrm{IQR}=50+12=62$
65 is an outlier
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50. a. The first place runner in the men's group finished $109.03-65.30=43.73$ minutes ahead of the first place runner in the women's group. Lauren Wald would have finished in $11^{\text {th }}$ place for the combined groups.
b. Using Excel's MEDIAN function the results are as follows:

| Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: |
| 109.64 | 131.67 |

Using the median finish times, the men's group finished $131.67-109.64=22.03$ minutes ahead of the women's group.

Also note that the fastest time for a woman runner, 109.03 minutes, is approximately equal to the median time of 109.64 minutes for the men's group.
c. Using Excel's QUARTILE.EXC function the quartiles are as follows:

| Quartile | Men | Women |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 83.1025 | 122.080 |
| 2 | 109.640 | 131.670 |
| 3 | 129.025 | 147.180 |

Excel's MIN and MAX functions provided the following values.

|  | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 65.30 | 109.03 |
| Maximum | 148.70 | 189.28 |

Five number summary for men: $65.30,83.1025,109.640,129.025,148.70$

Five number summary for women: 109.03, 122.08, 131.67, 147.18, 189.28
d. Men: $\mathrm{IQR}=129.025-83.1025=45.9225$

Lower Limit $=Q_{1}-1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=83.1025-1.5(45.9225)=14.22$
Upper Limit $=Q_{3}+1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=129.025+1.5(45.9225)=197.91$

There are no outliers in the men's group.
Women: $\mathrm{IQR}=147.18-122.08=25.10$

Lower Limit $=Q_{1}-1.5(\mathrm{IQR})==122.08-1.5(25.10)=84.43$

Upper Limit $=Q_{3}+1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=147.18+1.5(25.10)=184.83$
The two slowest women runners with times of 189.27 and 189.28 minutes are outliers in the women's group.
e. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.


The box plots show the men runners with the faster or lower finish times. However, the box plots show the women runners with the lower variation in finish times. The interquartile ranges of 45.9225 minutes for men and 25.10 minutes for women support this conclusion.
51. a. Smallest $=608$

$$
L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(21+1)=5.5
$$

First quartile or 25 th percentile $=1850+.5(1872-1850)=1861$

$$
L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(21+1)=11.0
$$

Second quartile or median $=4019$

$$
L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(21+1)=16.5
$$

Third quartile or 75 th percentile $=8305+.5(8408-8305)=8356.5$
Largest $=14138$
Five-number summary: 608, 1861, 4019, 8365.5, 14138
b. Limits:
$\mathrm{IQR}=Q_{3}-Q_{1}=8356.5-1861=6495.5$
Lower Limit: $\quad Q_{1}-1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=1861-1.5(6495.5)=-7,882.25$

Upper Limit: $\quad Q_{3}+1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=8356.5+1.5(6495.5)=18,099.75$
c. There are no outliers, all data are within the limits.
d. Yes, if the first two digits in Johnson and Johnson's sales were transposed to 41,138, sales would have shown up as an outlier. A review of the data would have enabled the correction of the data.
e. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.

52. Excel's MIN, QUARTILE.EXC, and MAX functions provided the following five-number summaries:

|  | AT\&T | Sprint | T-Mobile | Verizon |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum | 66 | 63 | 68 | 75 |
| First Quartile | 68 | 65 | 71.25 | 77 |
| Median | 71 | 66 | 73.5 | 78.5 |
| Third Quartile | 73 | 67.75 | 74.75 | 79.75 |
| Maximum | 75 | 69 | 77 | 81 |

a. Median for T-Mobile is 73.5
b. 5- number summary: $68,71.25,73.5,74.75,77$
c. $\quad \mathrm{IQR}=\mathrm{Q} 3-Q_{1}=74.75-71.25=3.5$

Lower Limit $=Q_{1}-1.5(\mathrm{IQR})$

$$
=71.25-1.5(3.5)=66
$$

Upper Limit $=Q_{3}+1.5(\mathrm{IQR})$

$$
3-22
$$

$$
=74.75+1.5(3.5)=80
$$

All ratings are between 66 and 80 . There are no outliers for the T-Mobile service.
d. Using the five number summaries shown initially, the limits for the four cell-phone services are as follows:

|  | AT\&T | Sprint | T-Mobile | Verizon |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum | 66 | 63 | 68 | 75 |
| First Quartile | 68 | 65 | 71.25 | 77 |
| Median | 71 | 66 | 73.5 | 78.5 |
| Third Quartile | 73 | 67.75 | 74.75 | 79.75 |
| Maximum | 75 | 69 | 77 | 81 |
| IQR | 5 | 2.75 | 3.5 | 2.75 |
| 1.5(IQR) | 7.5 | 4.125 | 5.25 | 4.125 |
| Lower Limit | 60.5 | 60.875 | 66 | 72.875 |
| Upper Limit | 80.5 | 71.875 | 80 | 83.875 |

There are no outliers for any of the cell-phone services.
e. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.


The box plots show that Verizon is the best cell-phone service provider in terms of overall customer satisfaction. Verizon's lowest rating is better than the highest AT\&T and Sprint ratings and is better than $75 \%$ of the T-Mobile ratings. Sprint shows the lowest customer satisfaction ratings among the four services.
53. a. The median one year - total return is $13.9 \%$ (obtained from average the 25 th and $26^{\text {th }}$ positions).

$$
3-23
$$
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b. 20 of the 50 companies or $40 \%$ had a one year - total return greater than the S\&P average return.
c. The five-number summary is $-13.9,3.7,13.9,30.0,117.1$
d. Returns less than -35.75 or greater than 69.45 are considered outliers. Thus, the following observations are outliers:

Southwest Airlines: Return $=84.5$
Delta Air Lines: Return $=116.6$
Facebook: Return = 117.1
e. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.

54. Excel's AVERAGE, MIN, QUARTILE.EXC, and MAX functions provided the following results; values for IQR and the upper and lower limits are also shown.

## Personal Vehicles

(1000s)
Mean
173.24

Minimum
First Quartile
21

Second Quartile
38.5

Third Quartile
89.5

Maximum 995
IQR 193.5
1.5(IQR) 290.25

Lower Limit -251.75
Upper Limit 522.25
3-24
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a. $\quad$ Mean $=173.24$ and median $($ second quartile $)=89.5$
b. First quartile $=38.5$ and the third quartile $=232$
c. $21,38.5,89.5,232,995$
d. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.


The box plot shows the distribution of number of personal vehicle crossings is skewed to the right (positive). Three ports of entry are considered outliers:

| NY: Buffalo-Niagara Falls | 707 |
| :--- | :--- |
| TX: El Paso | 807 |
| CA: San Ysidro | 995 |

## Chapter 3

55. a.

b. Negative relationship
c/d. $\Sigma x_{i}=40 \quad \bar{x}=\frac{40}{5}=8 \quad \Sigma y_{i}=230 \quad \bar{y}=\frac{230}{5}=46$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)=-240 \quad \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=118 \quad \Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=520 \\
& s_{x y}=\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{-240}{5-1}=-60 \\
& s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{118}{5-1}}=5.4314 \\
& s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{520}{5-1}}=11.4018 \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{-60}{(5.4314)(11.4018)}=-.969
\end{aligned}
$$

Sample covariance $=-60$
The negative value of the sample covariance indicates a negative linear relationship Sample correlation coefficient $=-.969$
There is a strong negative linear relationship.
56. a.

b. Positive relationship
c/d. $\Sigma x_{i}=80 \quad \bar{x}=\frac{80}{5}=16 \quad \Sigma y_{i}=50 \quad \bar{y}=\frac{50}{5}=10$

$$
\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)=106 \quad \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=272 \quad \Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=86
$$

$$
s_{x y}=\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{106}{5-1}=26.5
$$

Sample covariance $=26.5$
The positive value of the sample covariance indicates a positive linear relationship.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{272}{5-1}}=8.2462 \\
& s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{86}{5-1}}=4.6368 \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{26.5}{(8.2462)(4.6368)}=.693
\end{aligned}
$$

Sample correlation coefficient $=.693$ which indicates a moderately strong positive linear relationship
57. a.
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b. The scatter diagram shows that there is a positive linear relationship (as one stock price increases, the other tends to increase as well).
c. Let $x=$ the Apple adjusted stock price and $y=$ the $P \& G$ adjusted stock price

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x_{i}=3810.19 \quad \bar{x}=\frac{3810.19}{60}=63.50 \quad \Sigma y_{i}=3825.59 \quad \bar{y}=\frac{3825.59}{60}=63.76 \\
& \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)=11,118.99 \\
& s_{x y}=\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{11,118.99}{60-1}=188.46
\end{aligned}
$$

A positive covariance shows a positive linear relationship between adjusted stock price for Apple and the adjusted stock price for P\&G.
d.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=28,685.62 \quad \Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=6,986.42 \\
& s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{28685.62}{60-1}}=22.05 \\
& s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{6986.42}{60-1}}=10.88 \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{188.46}{(22.05)(10.88)}=.79
\end{aligned}
$$

The strong positive correlation shows that the adjusted stock prices of Apple and P\&G tend to move together. That is, when the adjusted stock price of Apple increases, so does the adjusted stock price of P\&G. Because the adjusted stock prices for these two companies tend to increase and decrease together, holding only Apple and P\&G stock in a portfolio could lead to a lot of volatility in the value of the portfolio. To minimize volatility, a financial analyst will often recommend acquiring at least some stocks that have negatively correlated adjusted prices.
58. Let $x=$ miles per hour and $y=$ miles per gallon

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x_{i}=420 \quad \bar{x}=\frac{420}{10}=42 \quad \Sigma y_{i}=270 \quad \bar{y}=\frac{270}{10}=27 \\
& \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)=-475 \quad \Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=1660 \quad \Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=164 \\
& s_{x y}=\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{-475}{10-1}=-52.7778 \\
& s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1660}{10-1}}=13.5810 \\
& s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{164}{10-1}}=4.2687 \\
& r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{-52.7778}{(13.5810)(4.2687)}=-.91
\end{aligned}
$$

A strong negative linear relationship exists. For driving speeds between 25 and 60 miles per hour, higher speeds are associated with lower miles per gallon.
59. a. We would expect there would be a negative relationship between smoke detector use and deaths from home fires. That is, as more households have smoke detectors, warning of a fire would help the inhabitants of the home escape and lead to fewer deaths form home fires.
b.

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{14.28}{17}=.84 \quad \bar{y}=\frac{\Sigma y_{i}}{n}=\frac{257.4}{17}=15.1412
$$

| $\underline{\text { xi }}$ | yi | $\underline{x i-x b a r}$ | yi - ybar | (xi - xbar)2 | (yi - ybar)2 | (xi - xbar)(yi - ybar) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 22.9 | -0.34 | 7.7588 | 0.1156 | 60.1993 | -2.6380 |
| 0.67 | 20.8 | -0.17 | 5.6588 | 0.0289 | 32.0223 | -0.9620 |
| 0.74 | 17.3 | -0.10 | 2.1588 | 0.0100 | 4.6605 | -0.2159 |
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| 0.76 | 20.5 | -0.08 | 5.3588 | 0.0064 | 28.7170 | -0.4287 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.77 | 19.4 | -0.07 | 4.2588 | 0.0049 | 18.1376 | -0.2981 |
| 0.82 | 18.9 | -0.02 | 3.7588 | 0.0004 | 14.1288 | -0.0752 |
| 0.81 | 17.6 | -0.03 | 2.4588 | 0.0009 | 6.0458 | -0.0738 |
| 0.85 | 16.2 | 0.01 | 1.0588 | 0.0001 | 1.1211 | 0.0106 |
| 0.86 | 13.6 | 0.02 | -1.5412 | 0.0004 | 2.3752 | -0.0308 |
| 0.88 | 14.4 | 0.04 | -0.7412 | 0.0016 | 0.5493 | -0.0296 |
| 0.90 | 14.3 | 0.06 | -0.8412 | 0.0036 | 0.7076 | -0.0505 |
| 0.92 | 13.0 | 0.08 | -2.1412 | 0.0064 | 4.5846 | -0.1713 |
| 0.95 | 10.9 | 0.11 | -4.2412 | 0.0121 | 17.9876 | -0.4665 |
| 0.96 | 10.9 | 0.12 | -4.2412 | 0.0144 | 17.9876 | -0.5089 |
| 0.97 | 10.2 | 0.13 | -4.9412 | 0.0169 | 24.4152 | -0.6424 |
| 0.96 | 8.4 | 0.12 | -6.7412 | 0.0144 | 45.4435 | -0.8089 |
| 0.96 | 8.1 | 0.12 | -7.0412 | 0.0144 | 49.5782 | -0.8449 |
|  |  |  | Total | 0.2514 | 328.6612 | -8.2350 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & s_{x y}=\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{-8.2350}{17-1}=-.5147 \\ & s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{.2514}{17-1}}=.1253 \\ & s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{328.6612}{17-1}}=4.5323 \\ & r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{-.5147}{(.1253)(4.5323)}=-0.9060 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

There is a strong negative correlation between smoke detector use and deaths from home fires.
c.

60. a.
\% Return ofDJIA versus Russell 1000

b. DJIA : $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{227.57}{25}=9.10$

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{(n-1)}}=\sqrt{\frac{5672.61}{24}}=15.37
$$

Russell 1000: $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{227.29}{25}=9.09$

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{(n-1)}}=\sqrt{\frac{7679.81}{24}}=17.89
$$

c. $\quad r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{263.611}{(15.37)(17.89)}=.959$
d. Based on this sample, the two indexes are very similar. They have a strong positive correlation. The variance of the Russell 1000 is slightly larger than that of the DJIA.

$$
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61. a.


There appears to be a negative linear relationship between the two variables. In other words, higher admission rates are associated with lower graduation rates.
b. The sample correlation coefficient is -.76 (which can be easily attained from the CORREL function in Excel). This indicates a negative linear relationship between the two variables.
62. The data in ascending order follow.

| Position | Value | Position | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 11 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 12 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 | 13 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 14 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 4 |
| 6 | 1 | 16 | 5 |
| 7 | 1 | 17 | 5 |
| 8 | 2 | 18 | 6 |
| 9 | 3 | 19 | 6 |
| 10 | 3 | 20 | 7 |

a. The mean is 2.95 and the median is 3 .
b. $\quad L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(20+1)=5.25$

First quartile or 25 th percentile $=1+.25(1-1)=1$
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$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(20+1)=15.75$
Third quartile or 75 th percentile $=4+.75(5-4)=4.75$
c. The range is 7 and the interquartile range is $4.75-1=3.75$.
d. The variance is 4.37 and standard deviation is 2.09 .
e. Because most people dine out a relatively few times per week and a few families dine out very frequently, we would expect the data to be positively skewed. The skewness measure of 0.34 indicates the data are somewhat skewed to the right.
f. The lower limit is -4.625 and the upper limit is 10.375 . No values in the data are less than the lower limit or greater than the upper limit, so there are no outliers.
63. a. $\quad L_{50}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(24)=12 \quad\left(12^{\text {th }}\right.$ position $)$

Previous Coach Median $=850,000$
New Coach Median $=1,150,000$
b. Range: Previous Coach Base: $\quad 3,500,000-267,800=3,232,200$

$$
\text { New Coach Base: } \quad 3,200,000-280,000=2,920,000
$$

c. Standard Deviation:

Previous Coach Base: $\quad s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{2.2209 \times 10^{13}}{22}}=1,004,740$

New Coach Base: $\quad s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1.7894 \times 10^{13}}{22}}=901,873$
d. The new coaches have a higher median annual salary, but a smaller range and standard deviation.
64. a. The mean and median patient wait times for offices with a wait tracking system are 17.2 and 13.5 , respectively. The mean and median patient wait times for offices without a wait tracking system are 29.1 and 23.5 , respectively.
b. The variance and standard deviation of patient wait times for offices with a wait tracking system are 86.2 and 9.3 , respectively. The variance and standard deviation of patient wait times for offices without a wait tracking system are 275.7 and 16.6, respectively.
c. Offices with a wait tracking system have substantially shorter patient wait times than offices without a wait tracking system.
d. $z=\frac{37-29.1}{16.6}=0.48$
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e. $z=\frac{37-17.2}{9.3}=2.13$

As indicated by the positive $z$-scores, both patients had wait times that exceeded the means of their respective samples. Even though the patients had the same wait time, the $z$-score for the sixth patient in the sample who visited an office with a wait tracking system is much larger because that patient is part of a sample with a smaller mean and a smaller standard deviation.
f. The $z$-scores for all patients follow.

| Without Wait <br> Tracking System | With Wait Tracking <br> System |
| :---: | :---: |
| -0.31 | 1.49 |
| 2.28 | -0.67 |
| -0.73 | -0.34 |
| -0.55 | 0.09 |
| 0.11 | -0.56 |
| 0.90 | 2.13 |
| -1.03 | -0.88 |
| -0.37 | -0.45 |
| -0.79 | -0.56 |
| 0.48 | -0.24 |

The $z$-scores do not indicate the existence of any outliers in either sample.
65. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{148}{20}=7.4$
b. $s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{(n-1)}=\frac{58.8}{19}=3.09 \quad s=\sqrt{3.09}=1.76$
66. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{20665}{50}=413.3$ This is slightly higher than the mean for the study.
b. $s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{(n-1)}}=\sqrt{\frac{69424.5}{49}}=37.64$
c. $\quad L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(51)=12.75 \quad$ First Quartile or $Q_{1}=374+.75(384-374)=381.5$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(51)=38.25 \quad$ Third Quartile or $Q_{3}=445+.25(445-445)=445$
$\mathrm{IQR}=445-381.5=63.5$
$\mathrm{LL}=Q_{1}-1.5 \mathrm{IQR}=381.5-1.5(63.5)=286.25$
$\mathrm{UL}=Q_{3}+1.5 \mathrm{IQR}=445+1.5(63.5)=540.25$
There are no outliers.
67. a. Public Transportation: $\bar{x}=\frac{320}{10}=32$

Automobile: $\bar{x}=\frac{320}{10}=32$
b. Public Transportation: $s=4.64$

Automobile: $s=1.83$
c. Prefer the automobile. The mean times are the same, but the auto has less variability.
d. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows.


The box plot shows lower variability with automobile transportation and supports the conclusion in part c.
68. Excel's MIN, QUARTILE.EXC, and MAX functions provided the following results; values for the IQR and the upper and lower limits are also shown.

## Annual Household Income

Minimum
First Quartile
Second Quartile
Third Quartile 52.6

Maximum64.5

IQR 1.85
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| $1.5(\mathrm{IQR})$ | 2.775 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lower Limit | 47.975 |
| Upper Limit | 55.375 |

a. The data in ascending order follow:

|  | 46.5 |
| ---: | :--- |
| 48.7 | 49.4 |
| 51.2 | 51.3 |
| $L_{50}$ | $=\frac{p 1.6}{100}(n+1)=\frac{50}{100}(14+1)=7.5$ |

Median or 50th percentile $=52.1+.5(52.1-52.1)=52.1$
b. Percentage change $=\left(\frac{52.1-55.5}{55.5}\right) 100=-6.1 \%$
c. $\quad L_{25}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{25}{100}(14+1)=3.75$

25 th percentile $=49.4+.75(51.2-49.4)=50.75$
$L_{75}=\frac{p}{100}(n+1)=\frac{75}{100}(14+1)=11.25$
75 th percentile $=52.5+.25(52.9-52.5)=52.6$
d. $\begin{array}{llllll}46.5 & 50.75 & 52.1 & 52.6 & 64.5\end{array}$
e. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{730.8}{14}=52.2$
$s^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{208.12}{13}=16.0092$
$s=\sqrt{16.0092}=4.0012$

The $z$-scores $=\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{s}$ are shown below:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
-1.42 & -0.87 & -0.70 & -0.25 & -0.22 & -0.15 & -0.02 & -0.02 & 0.00 & 0.05 & 0.07 & 0.17 & 0.30 & 3.07
\end{array}
$$

The last household income (64.5) has a $z$-score $>3$ and is an outlier.

Lower Limit $=Q_{1}-1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=50.75-1.5(52.6-50.75)=47.98$

Upper Limit $=Q_{3}+1.5(\mathrm{IQR})=52.6+1.5(52.6-50.75)=55.38$

Using this approach the first observation (46.5) and the last observation (64.5) would be consider outliers.

$$
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The two approaches will not always provide the same results.
69. Excel's MIN, QUARTILE.EXC, and MAX functions provided the following results; values for the IQR and the upper and lower limits are also shown.

# 2012 U.S. Average Sales per Store (\$1000s) 

| Minimum | 207 |
| :--- | ---: |
| First Quartile | 879 |
| Second Quartile | 1103 |
| Third Quartile | 1700 |
| Maximum | 3158 |
| IQR | 821 |
| $1.5(I Q R)$ | 1231.5 |
| Lower Limit | -352.5 |
| Upper Limit | 2931.5 |

a. $\quad$ Mean $=1275.2$
b. $\quad$ First quartile $=879$ and the third quartile $=1700$
$25 \%$ of the restaurants have an average sales per unit less than or equal to 879 and $25 \%$ of the restaurants have an average sales per unit greater than or equal to 1700 .
c. A box plot created using Excel's Box and Whisker Statistical Chart follows..


There is one outlier corresponding to Chick-fil-A.
d. The table follows:

| Segment | Average Sales per unit (\$1000s) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Burger | 1440 |
| Chicken | 1457 |
| Mexican | 1329 |
| Pizza/Pasta | 730 |
| Sandwich | 1280 |
| Snacks | 1088 |

The table shows that the lowest average sales per unit is for the Pizza/Pasta segment.
70. a. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{n}=\frac{4368}{12}=364$ rooms
b. $\bar{y}=\frac{\Sigma y_{i}}{n}=\frac{5484}{12}=\$ 457$
c.


It is difficult to see much of a relationship. When the number of rooms becomes larger, there is no indication that the cost per night increases. The cost per night may even decrease slightly.

$$
3-38
$$

© 2015 Cengage Learning. All Rights Reserved.
May not be scanned, copied or duplicated, or posted to a publicly accessible website, in whole or in part.
d.

| $x_{i}$ | $y_{i}$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)$ | $\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 220 | 499 | -144 | 42 | 20.736 | 1,764 | $-6,048$ |
| 727 | 340 | 363 | -117 | 131,769 | 13,689 | $-42,471$ |
| 285 | 585 | -79 | 128 | 6,241 | 16,384 | $-10,112$ |
| 273 | 495 | -91 | 38 | 8,281 | 1,444 | $-3,458$ |
| 145 | 495 | -219 | 38 | 47,961 | 1,444 | $-8,322$ |
| 213 | 279 | -151 | -178 | 22,801 | 31,684 | 26,878 |
| 398 | 279 | 34 | -178 | 1,156 | 31,684 | $-6,052$ |
| 343 | 455 | -21 | -2 | 441 | 4 | 42 |
| 250 | 595 | -114 | 138 | 12,996 | 19,044 | $-15,732$ |
| 414 | 367 | 50 | -90 | 2,500 | 8,100 | $-4,500$ |
| 400 | 675 | 36 | 218 | 1,296 | 47,524 | 7,848 |
| 700 | 420 | 336 | -37 | $\underline{112,896}$ | 1,369 | $-12,432$ |
|  |  |  | Total | 369,074 | 174,134 | $-74,359$ |

$$
s_{x y}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{-74,359}{11}=-6759.91
$$

$$
s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{369,074}{11}}=183.17
$$

$$
s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{174,134}{11}}=125.82
$$

$$
r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{-6759.91}{(183.17)(125.82)}=-.293
$$

There is evidence of a slightly negative linear association between the number of rooms and the cost per night for a double room. Although this is not a strong relationship, it suggests that the higher room rates tend to be associated with the smaller hotels.

This tends to make sense when you think about the economies of scale for the larger hotels. Many of the amenities in terms of pools, equipment, spas, restaurants, and so on exist for all hotels in the Travel + Leisure top 50 hotels in the world. The smaller hotels tend to charge more for the rooms. The larger hotels can spread their fixed costs over many room and may actually be able to charge less per night and still achieve and nice profit. The larger hotels may also charge slightly less in an effort to obtain a higher occupancy rate. In any case, it appears that there is a slightly negative linear association between the number of rooms and the cost per night for a double room at the top hotels.
71. a.
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There appears to be a positive linear relationship between two variables.
b. The sample correlation coefficient is .96 (which can be easily attained from the CORREL function in Excel). This indicates a very strong linear relationship between the two variables.
72. a.

| $x_{i}$ | $y_{i}$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)$ | $\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| .407 | .422 | -.1458 | -.0881 | .0213 | .0078 | .0128 |
| .429 | .586 | -.1238 | .0759 | .0153 | .0058 | -.0094 |
| .417 | .546 | -.1358 | .0359 | .0184 | .0013 | -.0049 |
| .569 | .500 | .0162 | -.0101 | .0003 | .0001 | -.0002 |
| .569 | .457 | .0162 | -.0531 | .0003 | .0028 | -.0009 |
| .533 | .463 | -.0198 | -.0471 | .0004 | .0022 | .0009 |
| .724 | .617 | .1712 | .1069 | .0293 | .0114 | .0183 |
| .500 | .540 | -.0528 | .0299 | .0028 | .0009 | -.0016 |
| .577 | .549 | .0242 | .0389 | .0006 | .0015 | .0009 |
| .692 | .466 | .1392 | -.0441 | .0194 | .0019 | -.0061 |
| .500 | .377 | -.0528 | -.1331 | .0028 | .0177 | .0070 |
| .731 | .599 | .1782 | .0889 | .0318 | .0079 | .0158 |
| .643 | .488 | .0902 | -.0221 | .0081 | .0005 | -.0020 |
| .448 | .531 | -.1048 | .0209 | .0110 | .0004 | -.0022 |
|  |  |  | Total | .1617 | .0623 | .0287 |

$s_{x y}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1}=\frac{.0287}{14-1}=.0022$
$s_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{.1617}{14-1}}=.1115$
$s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{.0623}{14-1}}=.0692$

$$
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$$
r_{x y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}=\frac{.0022}{.1115(.0692)}=+.286
$$

b. There is a low positive correlation between a major league baseball team's winning percentage during spring training and its winning percentage during the regular season. The spring training record should not be expected to be a good indicator of how a team will play during the regular season.

Spring training consists of practice games between teams with the outcome as to who wins or who loses not counting in the regular season standings or affecting the chances of making the playoffs. Teams use spring training to help players regain their timing and evaluate new players. Substitutions are frequent with the regular or better players rarely playing an entire spring training game. Winning is not the primary goal in spring training games. A low correlation between spring training winning percentage and regular season winning percentage should be anticipated.
73. $\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma w_{i} x_{i}}{\Sigma w_{i}}=\frac{20(20)+30(12)+10(7)+15(5)+10(6)}{20+30+10+15+10}=\frac{965}{85}=11.4 \mathrm{days}$
74.

| $w_{i}$ | $x_{i}$ | $w_{i} x_{i}$ | $x_{i}-\bar{x}$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | $w_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | $w_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 47 | 470 | -13.68 | 187.1424 | 1871.42 | 187.26 | 1872.58 |
| 40 | 52 | 2080 | -8.68 | 75.3424 | 3013.70 | 75.42 | 3016.62 |
| 150 | 57 | 8550 | -3.68 | $13 . .5424$ | 2031.36 | 13.57 | 2036.01 |
| 175 | 62 | 10850 | +1.32 | 1.7424 | 304.92 | 1.73 | 302.98 |
| 75 | 67 | 5025 | +6.32 | 39.9424 | 2995.68 | 39.89 | 2991.69 |
| 15 | 72 | 1080 | +11.32 | 128.1424 | 1922.14 | 128.05 | 1920.71 |
| $\underline{10}$ | 77 | $\underline{770}$ | +16.32 | 266.3424 | $\underline{2663.42}$ | $\underline{266.20}$ | $\underline{2662.05}$ |
| 475 |  | 28,825 |  |  | $14,802.64$ |  | 14802.63 |

Columns 5 and 6 are calculated with rounding, while columns 7 and 8 are based on unrounded calculations.
a. $\bar{x}=\frac{28,825}{475}=60.68$
b. $\quad s^{2}=\frac{14,802.64}{474}=31.23$
$s=\sqrt{31.23}=5.59$
75. a.
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It appears the Panama Railroad Company outperformed the New York Stock Exchange annual average return of $8.4 \%$, but the large drop in returns in 1870-71 makes it difficult to be certain.
b. The geometric mean is

$$
\bar{x}_{g}=\sqrt[28]{\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{28}\right)}=\sqrt[28]{16.769}=1.106
$$

where each x represents each year's growth factor and is equal to $1+$ the return on stock for that year. Therefore:
$x_{1}=1+(-1 \%)=99 \%=.99$ for year 1853
$x_{2}=1+(-9 \%)=91 \%=.91$ for year 1854
$x_{3}=1+19 \%=119 \%=1.19$ for year 1855
$x_{4}=1+2 \%=102 \%=1.02$ for year 1856
etc

So the mean annual return on Panama Railroad Company stock is $10.6 \%$. During the period of 1853-1880, the Panama Railroad Company stock yielded a return superior to the $8.4 \%$ earned by the New York Stock Exchange.

Note that we could also calculate the geometric mean with Excel. If the growth factors for the individual years are in cells $\mathrm{C} 2: \mathrm{C} 29$, then typing $=$ GEOMEAN(C2:C29) into an empty cell will yield the geometric mean.
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